

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

**City of Castle Hills, Texas**

**January 2017  
Revised: March 2017**


# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## City of Castle Hills, Texas

content



January 2017  
Revised: March 2017



Texas Board of Professional Engineers, Firm Registration # 470

**CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL  
Traffic Impact Analysis**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>6</b>
Study Procedure .....	6
<b>EXISTING CONDITION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
Existing Land Uses.....	9
Roadway Network .....	11
<b>NO BUILD CONDITION .....</b>	<b>16</b>
Background Growth .....	16
<b>BUILD CONDITION.....</b>	<b>19</b>
Trip Generation.....	19
Trip Reductions.....	20
Trip Distribution & Assignment .....	21
Site Access .....	21
<b>INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES.....</b>	<b>28</b>
Analysis Methodology.....	28
Existing Condition – Year 2016 .....	29
Impact Analysis.....	30
Identification of Impacts.....	30
<b>MITIGATION .....</b>	<b>32</b>
Mitigation Improvements .....	32
Turn Lane Assessment .....	33
Opinion of Probable Costs of Turn Lane Improvements.....	34
On Site Circulation and Queue Storage.....	35
<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>36</b>

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: Project Location.....	10
Figure 2: Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Generator Traffic Volume .....	14
Figure 3: Existing PM Peak Hour Roadway Network Traffic Volume .....	15
Figure 4: No Build Peak Hour Generator Traffic Volume (2017).....	17
Figure 5: No Build PM Peak Hour Roadway Network Traffic Volume (2017).....	18
Figure 6: Entering/Exiting Trip Distribution .....	22
Figure 7: AM Peak Hour Generator Site Traffic Volumes.....	23
Figure 8: PM Peak Hour Generator Site Traffic Volumes.....	24
Figure 9: PM Peak Hour Roadway Network Site Traffic Volumes.....	25
Figure 10: Peak Hour Generator Build Condition Traffic Volumes (2017) .....	26
Figure 11: PM Peak Hour Roadway Network Build Condition Traffic Volumes (2017).....	27

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1: Intersection Geometry-Existing Conditions (2016).....	11
Table 2: Rate of Traffic Growth .....	16
Table 3: Proposed Land Use.....	19
Table 4: Projected Site Trip Generation.....	20
Table 5: Intersection Capacity Analysis – Existing Conditions (2016).....	29
Table 6: Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (2017) .....	30
Table 7: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (2017) .....	31
Table 8: Level of Service Summary & Mitigation – (2017) .....	33
Table 9: Opinion of Probable Cost of Turn Lane Improvements .....	34

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **APPENDICES**

- A      Traffic Count Data**
- B      Trip Generation Data**
- C      Level of Service Descriptions**
- D      Capacity Analyses Worksheets**
- E      Preliminary Site Plan**
- F      Intersection Photographs**
- G      On-Site School Queueing**

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc. was retained to prepare a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development. The site is located on S. Winston Lane, west of Northwest Military Drive, in the City of Castle Hills, Bexar County, Texas (*MAPSCO® Map 550, Grid A5*)<sup>1</sup>. The site is currently comprised of approximately 6.2 acres of vacant land and it is zoned Residential. The BASIS Charter School is expected to have an enrollment of 1,127 students and it is expected to be completed in 2017.

The following key intersections were identified for analysis in this study:

- 1) Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road
- 2) Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane
- 3) Northwest Military Drive at West Avenue
- 4) West Avenue at Castle Lane

The AM peak hour of the generator (school drop-off), PM peak hour of the generator (school pick-up), and roadway network PM peak hour were identified for analysis in this study based on the projected school schedule provided by the BASIS Charter School. For simplicity, the peak hour of the generator will be referred to as the peak hour generator. The proposed development is estimated to generate 731 AM peak hour generator trips, 523 PM peak hour generator trips, 191 PM peak hour trips, and a total of 2,795 weekday trips upon completion. Because the City of Castle Hills does not have specific traffic impact analysis requirements, the City has allowed that this analysis follow City of San Antonio requirements in assessing the project's impact on the adjacent street network with an evaluation of the study intersections identified. To meet these requirements, this analysis includes an evaluation of the Existing Condition (year 2016), the No Build Condition (year 2017 without project traffic), and the Build Condition (year 2017 with project traffic). The key findings and recommendations resulting from this study are outlined below:

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

- Traffic impacts are identified when the Build Condition level of service (LOS) at the study intersections is below LOS C and the intersection delay is increased significantly (more than 20%) compared with the No Build Condition.
- Mitigation improvements and associated probable costs are required for any intersections where impacts have been identified. The results of the analysis show that **two intersections will experience impacts** due to the traffic generated by the proposed Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development; therefore, mitigation improvements are required. The mitigation improvements required to reduce the intersections to an acceptable delay are shown in the following table:

Level of Service Summary & Mitigation – (2017)

Intersections	Condition	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour		Mitigation Improvements
		LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	
NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	Build	E	77.4	D	43.6	E	64.4	Modify signal timings (change EB right-turn lane to a free movement), install barrier between add on lane and NW Military Dr main lanes
	Mitigation	C	33.7	D	40.9	E	61.0	
NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	Build	F	103.2	D	52.3	B	17.4	Install SB right-turn lane, EB left-turn lane, and modify signal timings
	Mitigation	C	33.4	C	20.8	B	13.3	

- Traffic impacts have been identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road intersection during the AM peak hour generator. The eastbound right-turn movement from Lockhill-Selma Road onto Northwest Military Drive currently requires vehicles to stop on red prior to making a right-turn, despite feeding into an add-on lane on southbound Northwest Military Drive. Modifying the signal timings and changing the eastbound right-turn lane turn type from permitted overlap to a free movement is expected to mitigate the impacts. Furthermore, a raised median or “candle stick delineators” should be installed along the turning movement on Northwest Military Drive to divide the existing add-on (acceleration)

<sup>1</sup> MAPSCO®, Inc. 2011. *Quick Finder MAPSCO® Street Guide and Directory, San Antonio and Surrounding Areas*. Addison, Texas.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

lane and the thru lanes to encourage drivers to continue through the movement without stopping or yielding (see Table 8). However, because signal timing modifications are required and a pedestrian movement currently crosses the add-on lane, coordination with TxDOT and the City of San Antonio will be required. In addition, a driveway for the adjacent Boy Scouts of America McGimsey Boy Scout Park is approximately 150 feet south of the intersection and is located within the add-on lane. Installing a raised median along the add-on lane may affect access into the development and coordination with the Boy Scouts of America may also be required.

- Traffic impacts were also identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane intersection during the AM and PM peak hour generators. Installing an eastbound left-turn lane on Winston Lane, a southbound right-turn lane on Northwest Military Drive, and modifying the signal timings are expected to mitigate the impacts. The southbound right-turn lane should be approximately 140 feet in length, extending back to the commercial development driveway along Northwest Military Drive. In addition, it is recommended to widen the Winston Lane approach to two lanes for approximately 500 feet to allow for additional storage for the proposed left-turn lane.
- In accordance with Section 35-502 (e) (2) B & C of the City of San Antonio Code, left- and right-turn lanes are required at all site driveways or streets with a daily entering right- or left-turn project volume of 500 vehicle trips or 50 peak hour vehicle trips. Furthermore, a left-turn lane is required at all median openings. Based on the projected volumes associated with the proposed BASIS Charter School, a right-turn deceleration lane is required at the following site driveway per the TxDOT *Roadway Design Manual* requirements:

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

- Winston Lane at Driveway 2: a westbound right-turn lane a minimum of 105 feet in length (75 feet of deceleration length which includes 50 feet of taper, plus 30 feet of storage) based on the posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour. While a minimum length of 105 feet is required for the turn lane, it is recommended to extend the right-turn lane for approximately 350 feet to Driveway 2 to allow for additional queueing into the school. The City of Castle Hills must review and approve of this turn lane.
- The intersection sight distance provided should be in accordance with distances cited for each type of maneuver (exiting right-turn, left-turn or crossing, and entering left-turn) in *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 6th Edition*, 2011 published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)<sup>2</sup>. The posted speed limit on Winston Lane is 25 miles per hour. Landscaping, parking, and signs should be placed so that they do not obstruct visibility for motorists exiting the site. The location of constructed or cut walls should be carefully evaluated in proximity to driveways/streets to prevent creating a sight obstruction. Design of new roadways should provide for adequate stopping sight distance and should consider future driveway and median opening locations. If main roadway is under design, consideration should be given to adjustment of K-factors to provide intersection sight distance versus stopping sight distance.

---


<sup>2</sup> American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 6th Edition*, 2011, Washington, D.C.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

**Intersection Sight Distances at 2-lane Undivided Roadways & Level Conditions (feet)**

Speed (mph)	Distance for Exiting Left-Turn (A Leg)	Distance for Exiting Right Turn or Crossing (B Leg)	Distance for Entering Left-Turn (C Leg)
30	335	290	245
35	390	335	285
40	445	385	325
45	500	430	365
50	555	480	405
55	610	530	445



*Note: Distances should be adjusted for additional lanes, grades and medians. For adjustments see AASHTO Green Book*

- Driveway throat lengths should be constructed in accordance with the City of Castle Hills and TxDOT requirements to facilitate safe and efficient traffic flow.
- Signs and markings should conform to the latest edition of the *Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>3</sup> Texas Department of Transportation.2011. *Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (Texas MUTCD). Austin, Texas.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc. was retained to prepare a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development. The site is located on S. Winston Lane, west of Northwest Military Drive, in the City of Castle Hills, Bexar County, Texas (*MAPSCO® Map 550, Grid A5*)<sup>4</sup>. The site is currently comprised of approximately 6.2 acres of vacant land and it is zoned Residential. The BASIS Charter School is expected to have an enrollment of 1,127 students and it is expected to be completed in 2017.

This study assesses the transportation impacts of the proposed development on the area roadway network and reviews site access and circulation as required by Section 35-502 Traffic Impact Analysis and Roughly Proportionate Determination Study of the City of San Antonio Unified Development Code (UDC). The format of this study follows the requirements listed in Appendix B; Subsection 35-B122 (a) (6). This traffic impact analysis 1) addresses and evaluates the project's impact on the adjacent street network, 2) evaluates on-site circulation, and 3) recommends mitigation measures related to any significant impacts of site-generated traffic on the adjacent street network.

### **Study Procedure**

The following sections provide a summary of field data, engineering analyses, and conclusions and recommendations related to this TIA. The methodology is based on analyses of existing and projected site-generated traffic on the area roadways. The following tasks were completed during the study:

---

<sup>4</sup> MAPSCO®, Inc. 2011. *Quick Finder MAPSCO® Street Guide and Directory, San Antonio and Surrounding Areas*. Addison, Texas.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

- Determined analysis parameters in discussions with City of Castle Hills staff.
- Identified the following study intersections for analysis in this study:
  - Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road
  - Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane
  - Northwest Military Drive at West Avenue
  - West Avenue at Castle Lane
- Completed a field investigation of roadways within the vicinity of the site.
- Obtained average daily traffic (ADT) and turning movement counts (TMC) for the key intersections and site driveways on Wednesday, November 9, 2016.
- Estimated the projected number of trips to be generated by the development during the AM peak hour generator, PM peak hour generator, and roadway network PM peak hour using the trip generation rates provided in *Trip Generation, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers<sup>5</sup>.
- Assigned entering and exiting site traffic to site driveways and study intersections based on trip distribution.
- Projected No Build Condition traffic volumes based on the estimated completion date for the year 2017. Existing volumes were increased to the year 2017 by applying an annual growth factor.
- Developed Build Condition volumes by combining the site-generated traffic with the No Build Condition volumes.
- Performed capacity analyses of study intersections for Existing, No Build, and Build Conditions for the AM peak hour generator, PM peak hour generator, and PM peak hour.

---

<sup>5</sup> Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). *Trip Generation, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition*, 2012, Washington, D.C.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

- Compared and analyzed the results of the capacity analyses to identify potential traffic impacts and propose suitable mitigation measures.
- Prepared recommendations to enhance site circulation and mitigate impacts where necessary.
- Provided relative costs of proposed mitigation improvements where required.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **EXISTING CONDITION**

To evaluate the impact of site-generated traffic on the area roadway network, it was first necessary to determine the Existing Condition of the study area. Traffic data, including turning movement counts, signal timing and phasing, and intersection geometry were gathered and reviewed. The traffic volume data is presented in Appendix A.

#### **Existing Land Uses**

The project site is located along Winston Lane, west of Northwest Military Drive. McGimsey Scout Park borders the north property line, single-family homes border the east and west property lines, and Winston Lane borders the south property line. An aerial view of this area is shown in **Figure 1**.



**CASTLE HILLS CHARTER SCHOOL**  
CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS  
FIGURE 1: LOCATION MAP

JOB NO. 11234-00  
DATE NOV 2016  
DESIGNER JCH  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN JCH

SHEET 1 of 1

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**  
2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78215 | PHONE: 210.373.9000  
FAX: 210.373.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 10028800

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### Roadway Network

Lockhill-Selma Road, Northwest Military, and West Avenue are expected to provide regional access to the site, while Winston Lane will provide direct access. Descriptions of the area roadways follow. The existing intersection geometries are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Intersection Geometry-Existing Conditions (2016)

Intersection	Approach	Lane Designation*	Traffic Control
NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	NB	L-L-T-T-R	Traffic Signal
	SB	L-T-T-R	
	EB	L-T-R	
	WB	L-T-R	
NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	NB	LTR	Traffic Signal
	SB	LTR	
	EB	L-T-TR	
	WB	L-T-TR	
NW Military Dr at West Ave	NB	L-T-TR	Traffic Signal
	SB	L-T-TR	
	EB	L-T-T-R	
	WB	L-T-T-R	
West Ave at Castle Ln	NB	LT-TR	Two-Way Stop-Controlled (TWSC)
	SB	LT-TR	
	EB	LTR	
	WB	LTR	

\*L = Left-turn lane; T = Through lane; R = Right-turn lane; LT = Shared Left-/Through lane; TR = Shared Thru/Right lane; LTR = Shared Left/Through/Right lane

### Northwest Military Drive (FM 1535)

Northwest Military Drive is a seven-lane roadway with a two-way center left-turn lane, generally oriented in a north-south direction in the vicinity of the site. Northwest Military Drive extends north from Loop 410 to its terminus at Old Camp Bullis Road. The posted speed limit on the roadway near the site is 35 miles per hour. However, there is a pedestrian crossing with flashing beacons and a recommended 30 MPH speed limit on Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane, and therefore our study assumed 30 miles per hour during the AM and PM peak hour generators, and it was analyzed at the posted speed limit of 35 MPH during the PM peak hour. Northwest Military Drive is identified on the City of San Antonio Major Thoroughfare Plan (MTP) as a

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

Primary Arterial Type A with 120 feet of right-of-way and is under the jurisdiction of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT).

### ***Lockhill-Selma Road***

Lockhill-Selma Road is a three-lane undivided roadway, generally oriented in an east-west direction, in the vicinity of the site. Lockhill-Selma Road extends south from Loop 1604 to its terminus at San Pedro Avenue, where it becomes Isom Road. The posted speed limit on the roadway is 35 miles per hour and it is identified on the City of San Antonio MTP as a Secondary Arterial Type A with 86 feet of right-of-way.

### ***West Avenue***

West Avenue is a four-lane undivided roadway, generally oriented in a north-south direction in the vicinity of the site. West Avenue extends north from Hildebrand Avenue to its terminus at Bitters Road, where it becomes Tower Drive. The posted speed limit on the roadway is 35 miles per hour. West Avenue has a school zone just south of Northwest Military Drive and was analyzed at 20 miles per hour during the AM and PM peak hour generators, as it occurs during normal school zone operation hours, and was analyzed at the posted speed limit during the PM peak hour, which occurs outside of school zone operation hours. West Avenue is identified on the City of San Antonio MTP as a Secondary Arterial Type B with 70-86 feet of right-of-way.

### ***Winston Lane***

Winston Lane is a two-lane undivided roadway, generally oriented in an east-west direction in the vicinity of the site. Winston Lane extends east from Fox Hall Lane to its terminus at Lockhill-Selma Road. The posted speed limit on the roadway is 25 miles per hour and it is not identified on the City of San Antonio MTP.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**


The study area includes analysis of the following key intersections (see Intersection Photographs in Appendix F):

- 1) Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road
- 2) Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane
- 3) Northwest Military Drive at West Avenue
- 4) West Avenue at Castle Lane

### **Traffic Volumes**

An Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) machine was placed on Winston Lane to record hourly bi-direction volumes for a 24-hour period. Intersection turning movement counts (TMC) were also performed during the AM peak hours (7:00 AM – 9:00 AM) and PM peak hours (3:00 PM – 6:00 PM) at the key intersections. The traffic volumes were collected on Wednesday, November 9, 2016. Figure 2 and Figure 3 illustrates the traffic volumes for the AM and PM peak hour generators and PM peak hour of the roadway network, respectively. Detailed traffic count information is contained in Appendix A.





# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### NO BUILD CONDITION

The No Build Condition traffic volumes consist of traffic generated by other planned projects in the area combined with existing traffic volumes projected to the future build year for the project by applying an annual growth rate. The No Build Condition represents the expected future traffic conditions at the study intersections assuming that the proposed project is not constructed. No other future projects were identified in the area at the time of this study.

### Background Growth


An annual growth rate was estimated at 4% based on historical average daily traffic (ADT) counts performed by TxDOT between 2011 and 2015. This rate represents the average growth rate for the area surrounding the site. Table 2 illustrates historical ADT data for Northwest Military Drive.

Table 2: Rate of Traffic Growth

Location	Year	AADT	Rate of Growth (%)	Growth (%)
NW Military Dr, west of Lockhill-Selma Rd	2011	18,700		
	2012	21,000	12.3%	
	2013	23,078	9.9%	4.2%
	2014	19,755	-14.4%	
	2015	21,498	8.8%	
NW Military Dr, north of Loop 410	2011	24,000		
	2012	24,000	0.0%	
	2013	25,244	5.2%	3.7%
	2014	22,045	-12.7%	
	2015	26,961	22.3%	
<b>Weighted Average</b>				<b>4%</b>

The No Build peak hour traffic volumes were developed by applying the annual growth factor to the existing volumes to project them to the year 2017. The No Build Condition for the AM and PM peak hour generators and PM peak hour of the roadway network are presented in **Figures 4** and **Figure 5**, respectively.

This document was generated from material that was stored and/or transmitted electronically and may contain both proprietary and public domain material. The original author's name is shown above and the original source is indicated below. This document is provided by Google as a service to its users. © 2016 Google Inc. All rights reserved.



# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS


**FIGURE 4: NO BUILD CONDITION - SCHOOL GENERATOR PEAK (2017)**

JOB NO. 11234-00  
DATE NOV 2016  
DESIGNER GRW  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN GRW

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010

TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 1022800



# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### BUILD CONDITION

#### Trip Generation

To evaluate the impact of the development on the adjacent street network, future conditions for year 2017 were analyzed. The project will consist of a private charter school with an enrollment of 1,127 students to be completed by the 2017-2018 school year. However, BASIS Charter School is reporting that the school enrollment is expected to decrease in the near future. Therefore, analyzing the roadway network with 1,127 students represents the worst-case scenario. The proposed land use and size are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Proposed Land Use

Land Use	ITE Code	Size	Unit
Private School (K-12)	536	1,127	Students

The amount of site traffic generated by the proposed development is a function of the density and type of land use. The projected number of vehicle trips generated by the proposed development was calculated using statistical data contained in *Trip Generation, 9th Edition*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and considered an industry standard.

The traffic generated by the project was calculated for the AM and PM peak hours of traffic on the adjacent roadways, since these periods experience the greatest roadway congestion during an average weekday. The AM and PM peak hours at this location were determined to be from 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM and 5:00 PM to 6:00 PM, respectively, based on the traffic count data collected.

However, based on preliminary school times provided by the BASIS Charter School, the school site AM peak hour generator will occur from 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM, with approximately 80% of students arriving during this time period, and the remaining students arriving between 8:00 AM and 8:30 AM (see Appendix B). Therefore, although the overall roadway network for the AM peak hour occurs from 7:15 AM to 8:15 AM, the AM peak hour generator from 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM will be analyzed in this study.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

Furthermore, the PM peak hour generator will occur from 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM with approximately 80% of students leaving during this time period, and the remaining students leaving after 4:00 PM. Because the normal school pick-up operations do not occur during the roadway network PM peak hours (4:00 PM to 6:00 PM), both the PM peak hour generator (3:00 PM – 4:00 PM) and the roadway network PM peak hour (5:00 PM to 6:00 PM) will be analyzed.

The resulting projected site traffic is shown in Table 4. A summary of the trip generation data is provided in Appendix B.

Table 4: Projected Site Trip Generation

Land Use (ITE Code)	Size	Unit	AM Peak Hour Generator*			PM Peak Hour Generator*			PM Peak Hour			Daily Total
			Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	
Private School (536)	1,127	Students	446	285	731	220	303	523	82	109	191	2,795

\*Assumes 80% of students (902 Students)

### Trip Reductions

#### Internal Trips

Internal trips are defined as trips occurring between uses contained on the site. These internal trips are captured on the site as traffic and are not required to exit the site to use the external roadway network. Internal capture rates are the percentage reductions that can be applied to trip generation estimates based on the relationship of the uses contained on the site. No internal trip reduction was applied to this development.

#### Pass-By Trips

Pass-by trips are defined as intermediate stops on the way from an origin to a primary destination. The pass-by traffic is traffic already on the roadway traveling by the site. In other words, pass-by traffic is not new traffic added to the local street network. Factoring for pass-by trips does not affect the site driveway volumes but it may reduce the amount of project traffic added to the adjacent street network. No pass-by trip reduction rates were used in this analysis.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**


## **Traffic Impact Analysis**


### **Trip Distribution & Assignment**

The directions from which drivers are expected to approach and depart the site are based on several variables. These variables include the configuration and characteristics of the local street network, existing traffic volumes, travel characteristics associated with the land use and the location of access points. A special emphasis is placed on viable arterials and freeways that provide access to the site. Detailed trip distributions were performed for entering and exiting traffic based on the area roadway system expected to be in place when the development is complete. The detailed entering and exiting trip distributions for the development are presented in **Figure 6**. The projected site traffic contained in **Table 4** was assigned to the local roadway network for the AM peak hour generator, PM peak hour generator, and PM peak hour using the trip distribution. The site traffic volumes are shown in **Figures 7, 8, and 9** for the AM peak hour generator, PM peak hour generator, and PM peak hour, respectively. The Build Condition peak hour traffic volumes were developed by combining the No Build Condition volumes with the site-generated volumes. The Build Condition volumes for the AM and PM peak hour generators and PM peak hour of the roadway network are illustrated in **Figures 10 and 11**, respectively.

### **Site Access**

Northwest Military Drive, West Avenue, and Lockhill-Selma Road are expected to provide regional access to the site, while Winston Lane will provide direct access. The proposed development will consist of two access driveways. Both Driveways 1 and 2 will be located along Winston Lane and will be two-way stop-controlled with full-access. However, traffic control for pickup/drop-off operations will restrict access to Driveway 1 and force vehicles to enter and exit the development through Driveway 2 during the peak hours. Therefore, while Driveway 1 does not show any vehicles entering or exiting the development during the AM and PM peak hours, it will have full-access during the off-peak period.






## CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS

FIGURE 7: SITE TRIPS AM PEAK HOUR SCHOOL GENERATOR

JOB NO. 11234-00  
DATE NOV 2016  
DESIGNER GRW  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN GRW

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**  
2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 10028800

This document was sent produced from material that was stored and/or transmitted electronically and may have been inadvertently altered. Only copy on final, non-copying materials bearing the original author's original signature and seal, and image produced by copier unless otherwise noted.




# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS

FIGURE 8: SITE TRIPS PM PEAK HOUR SCHOOL GENERATOR

JOB NO. 11234-000  
DATE NOV 2016  
DESIGNER GRW  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN GRW

**PJ** PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS  
NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 10228800




## CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS

FIGURE 9: SITE TRIPS ROADWAY NETWORK PM PEAK HOUR

JOB NO. 11234-00  
DATE NOV 2016  
DESIGNER GRW  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN GRW

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**  
2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 10028800




**CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**  
CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 1022880

FIGURE 10: BUILD CONDITION SCHOOL GENERATOR PEAK (2017)



SHEET 1 of 1

## CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL CITY OF CASTLE HILLS, TEXAS

FIGURE 11: BUILD CONDITION ROADWAY NETWORK PM PEAK (2017)

JOB NO. 11234-00  
DATE - NOV 2016  
DESIGNER GRW  
CHECKED MH  
DRAWN GRW

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**  
2000 NW LOOP 410 | SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78213 | PHONE: 210.375.9000  
FAX: 210.375.9010  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, FIRM REGISTRATION # 470  
TEXAS BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYING, FIRM REGISTRATION # 10028800

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES

#### Analysis Methodology

Capacity analyses were conducted at the study intersections for the Existing Condition (year 2016), No Build Condition (year 2017), and Build Condition (year 2017). The following key intersections were identified for analysis in this study:

- 1) Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road
- 2) Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane
- 3) Northwest Military Drive at West Avenue
- 4) West Avenue at Castle Lane

The evaluation of peak hour operations for each intersection and scenario was performed using *Synchro, Version 8*<sup>6</sup>. All intersections were analyzed with traffic volumes, intersection geometry, and traffic control. Capacity analyses are presented in standard level of service format. Level of service at a signalized intersection is based on the average control-delay measured in seconds per vehicle (sec/veh). The control delay is calculated using an equation that combines the stopped-delay with the vehicle acceleration/deceleration delay that is caused by the signalized intersection.

The capacity of a controlled leg of an unsignalized two-way stop-controlled intersection is established through an estimation of available gaps in traffic on the major roadway, driver judgment in selecting a gap, and required follow-up time by each driver in a queue. The level of service for each stop-controlled approach is determined by the average total delay per vehicle. The total delay represents the time from when the vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line.

---

<sup>6</sup> Trafficware®. 2012. *Synchro Studio 8, Synchro Plus SimTraffic and 3D Viewer*. Sugarland, Texas.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

Level of service is designated from A to F, with A representing the best traffic conditions with least delay and F representing poor conditions with the highest delay. The general characteristics associated with each level of service for unsignalized and signalized intersections based on the *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>7</sup> are presented in Appendix C, and the detailed Synchro Capacity Analysis Worksheets can be found in Appendix D.

### Existing Condition – Year 2016

Capacity analysis results for the Existing Conditions at all key intersections are presented in **Table 5**.

**Table 5: Intersection Capacity Analysis – Existing Conditions (2016)**

Intersection	Approach	Movement	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour	
			LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)
<b>Signalized Intersection:</b>								
	NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd		E	56.2	D	38.5	E	56.4
	NW Military Dr at Winston Ln		B	15.3	B	12.3	B	10.7
	NW Military Dr at West Ave		C	28.3	C	28.6	C	30.8
<b>Unsignalized Intersections:</b>								
West Ave at Castle Ln			NB	LT	A	1.6	A	0.3
			SB	LT	A	0.0	A	0.8
			EB	LTR	B	10.7	B	15.8
			WB	LTR	C	15.8	B	11.7

As shown in **Table 5**, the Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road intersection currently operates at an unacceptable LOS E during both the AM peak hour generator and PM peak hour, and at an unacceptable LOS D during the PM peak hour generator. All other signalized and unsignalized intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS C or better during all three peak hours.

<sup>7</sup> Transportation Research Board/National Research Council. 2000. *Highway Capacity Manual. Third Edition*, Washington, D.C.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### Impact Analysis

Traffic impacts are identified when the Build Condition level of service (LOS) at the study intersections is below C and the intersection delay is increased significantly (more than 20%) compared with the No Build Condition.

### Identification of Impacts

The capacity analyses for the key intersections are summarized in **Tables 6 and 7** for the No Build and Build Conditions. Detailed Capacity Analysis Worksheets are presented in **Appendix D**.

**Table 6: Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (2017)**

Intersection	Condition	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour	
		LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)
<b>NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd</b>	No Build	E	60.0	D	40.6	E	61.1
	Build	E	77.4	D	43.6	E	64.4
	<b>Allowable Delay</b>		72.0		48.7		73.3
<b>NW Military Dr at Winston Ln</b>	No Build	B	15.8	B	12.2	B	11.2
	Build	F	103.2	D	52.3	B	17.4
	<b>Allowable Delay</b>		35.0		35.0		35.0
<b>NW Military Dr at West Ave</b>	No Build	C	28.8	C	27.0	C	32.1
	Build	C	31.2	C	26.6	C	32.2
	<b>Allowable Delay</b>		35.0		35.0		38.5

The results in **Table 6** show that the delay value at the Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road will exceed the 20% maximum allowed for signalized intersections during the AM peak hour generator under the Build Condition. In addition, the Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane intersection will operate at an unacceptable LOS F and LOS D during the AM and PM peak hour generators, respectively, under the Build Condition. Therefore, mitigation improvements are required at both intersections. The Northwest Military Drive at West Avenue intersection will operate at an acceptable LOS C during all three peak hours under the Build Condition.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

**Table 7: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (2017)**

Intersection	Condition	Approach	Movement	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour	
				LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Control Delay (sec/veh)
<b>West Ave at Castle Ln</b>									
No Build	NB	LT	A	1.6	A	0.5	A	0.3	
	SB	LT	A	0.1	A	0.1	A	0.8	
	EB	LTR	B	10.6	C	15.3	C	16.5	
	WB	LTR	C	16.5	B	13.8	B	11.9	
Build	NB	LT	A	1.5	A	0.5	A	0.3	
	SB	LT	A	0.1	A	0.1	A	0.8	
	EB	LTR	B	10.8	C	16.8	B	17.0	
	WB	LTR	C	19.9	B	14.8	B	12.0	
<b>Winston Ln at Driveway 1</b>									
Build	EB	L	A	0.0	A	0.0	A	0.0	
	SB	LR	A	0.0	A	0.0	A	0.0	
<b>Winston Ln at Driveway 2</b>									
Build	EB	L	A	0.0	A	0.0	A	0.0	
	SB	LR	B	11.0	B	10.8	A	9.4	

The results in Table 7 show that all unsignalized intersections will operate at an acceptable LOS C or better during all three peak hours under the Build Condition. Therefore, mitigation improvements are not required.

# **CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL**

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

### **MITIGATION**

Section 35-502 (d) (3) B of the City's Unified Development Code identifies traffic impacts when the Build Condition level of service (LOS) at the study intersections is below C and the intersection delay is increased significantly (more than 20%) compared with the No Build Condition.

#### **Mitigation Improvements**

Mitigation improvements and associated probable costs are required for any intersections where impacts have been identified. As shown in Tables 6 and 7, two intersections will experience impacts due to the traffic generated by the proposed Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development; therefore, mitigation improvements are required.

Traffic impacts have been identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road intersection during the AM peak hour generator. The eastbound right-turn movement from Lockhill-Selma Road onto Northwest Military Drive currently requires vehicles to stop on red prior to making a right-turn, despite feeding into an add-on lane on southbound Northwest Military Drive. Modifying the signal timings and changing the eastbound right-turn lane turn type from permitted overlap to a free movement is expected to mitigate the impacts. Furthermore, a raised median or "candle stick delineators" should be installed along the turning movement on Northwest Military Drive to divide the existing add-on (acceleration) lane and the thru lanes to encourage drivers to continue through the movement without stopping or yielding. However, because signal timing modifications are required and a pedestrian movement currently crosses the add-on lane, coordination with TxDOT and the City of San Antonio will be required. In addition, a driveway for the adjacent Boy Scouts of America McGimsey Boy Scout Park is approximately 150 feet south of the intersection and is located within the add-on lane. Installing a raised median along the add-on lane may affect access into the development and coordination with the Boy Scouts of America may also be required.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

Traffic impacts were also identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane intersection during the AM and PM peak hour generators. Installing an eastbound left-turn lane on Winston Lane, a southbound right-turn lane on Northwest Military Drive, and modifying the signal timings are expected to mitigate the impacts. The southbound right-turn lane should be approximately 140 feet in length, extending back to the commercial development driveway along Northwest Military Drive. In addition, it is recommended to widen the Winston Lane approach to two lanes for approximately 500 feet to allow for additional storage for the proposed left-turn lane.

**Table 8: Level of Service Summary & Mitigation – (2017)**

Intersections	Condition	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour		Mitigation Improvements
		LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	
NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	Build	E	77.4	D	43.6	E	64.4	Modify signal timings (change EB right-turn lane to a free movement), install barrier between add on lane and NW Military Dr main lanes
	Mitigation	C	33.7	D	40.9	E	61.0	
NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	Build	F	103.2	D	52.3	B	17.4	Install SB right-turn lane, EB left-turn lane, and modify signal timings
	Mitigation	C	33.4	C	20.8	B	13.3	

### Turn Lane Assessment

In accordance with Section 35-502 (e) (2) B,C of the City of San Antonio Code, left- and right-turn lanes are required at all site driveways or streets with a daily entering right- or left-turn project volume of 500 vehicle trips or 50 peak hour vehicle trips. Furthermore, a left-turn lane is required at all median openings. Based on the projected volumes associated with the proposed BASIS Charter School, a right-turn deceleration lane is required at the following site driveway per the TxDOT *Roadway Design Manual* requirements:

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

- Winston Lane at Driveway 2: a westbound right-turn lane a minimum of 105 feet in length (75 feet of deceleration length which includes 50 feet of taper, plus 30 feet of storage) based on the posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour. While a minimum length of 105 feet is required for the turn lane, it is recommended to extend the right-turn lane for approximately 350 feet to Driveway 2 to allow for additional queueing into the school. The City of Castle Hills must review and approve of this turn lane.

### Opinion of Probable Costs of Turn Lane Improvements

An opinion of probable cost to implement the mitigation and turn lane improvements identified in the previous section are contained in **Table 9**. The cost figures include engineering and construction. No costs for right-of-way acquisition, traffic signal modifications (including pole and ground box relocations and vehicle detection, etc.), and potential drainage improvements were included in the total.

**Table 9: Opinion of Probable Cost of Turn Lane Improvements**

Mitigation Improvement	Cost
Signal timing modifications (Free movement); NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	\$10,000
Install barrier between add-on lane and thru lanes along EB right-turn movement; NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	\$30,000
Install southbound right-turn lane; NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	\$75,000
Install eastbound left-turn lane; NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	\$90,000
Signal timing modifications; NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	\$5,000
<b>Total Mitigation Improvements Cost</b>	<b>\$210,000</b>
Turn Lane Improvements	
Westbound right-turn lane; Winston Ln at Driveway 2	\$60,000
<b>Total Improvement Cost</b>	<b>\$270,000</b>

\*Pending TxDOT review and approval

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### On Site Circulation and Queue Storage

Based on the *School Queue Calculator*<sup>8</sup> published by the North Carolina DOT, a minimum of 2,474 feet of onsite queue storage is recommended for the Castle Hills BASIS Charter School assuming 1,127 students. This is the minimum on-site queue storage which should be provided on the campus. The on-site circulation should be one-way and circulate in a counter clockwise direction where students are loaded and unloaded directly to the curb/sidewalk. Parent loading and unloading should only occur in designated areas to minimize pedestrian/vehicle conflicts. An onsite circulation exhibit and detailed queuing calculation is shown in Appendix G.

---

<sup>8</sup> Municipal School Transportation assistance. *School Queueing Calculator*. Traffic Engineering and Safety Systems Branch. North Carolina Department of Transportation.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc. was retained to prepare a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development. The site is located on S. Winston Lane, west of Northwest Military Drive, in the City of Castle Hills, Bexar County, Texas (*MAPSCO® Map 550, Grid A5*)<sup>9</sup>. The site is currently comprised of approximately 6.2 acres of vacant land and it is zoned Residential. The BASIS Charter School is expected to have an enrollment of 1,127 students and it is expected to be completed in 2017.

This analysis fulfills the City of San Antonio and Bexar County requirements in assessing the project's impact on the adjacent street network with an evaluation of key intersections identified. To meet these requirements, this analysis includes an evaluation of the Existing Condition (year 2016), No Build Condition (year 2017 without project traffic), and the Build Condition (year 2017 with project traffic). The key findings and recommendations resulting from this study are outlined below:

- Traffic impacts are identified when the Build Condition level of service (LOS) at the study intersections is below LOS C and the intersection delay is increased significantly (more than 20%) compared with the No Build Condition.
- Mitigation improvements and associated probable costs are required for any intersections where impacts have been identified. The results of the analysis show that **two intersections will experience impacts** due to the traffic generated by the proposed Castle Hills BASIS Charter School development; therefore, mitigation improvements are required. The mitigation improvements required to reduce the intersections to an acceptable delay are shown in the following table:

---

<sup>9</sup> MAPSCO®, Inc. 2011. *Quick Finder MAPSCO® Street Guide and Directory, San Antonio and Surrounding Areas*. Addison, Texas.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

Level of Service Summary & Mitigation – (2017)

Intersections	Condition	AM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour Generator		PM Peak Hour		Mitigation Improvements
		LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	
NW Military Dr at Lockhill-Selma Rd	Build	E	77.4	D	43.6	E	64.4	Modify signal timings (change EB right-turn lane to a free movement), install barrier between add on lane and NW Military Dr main lanes
	Mitigation	C	33.7	D	40.9	E	61.0	
NW Military Dr at Winston Ln	Build	F	103.2	D	52.3	B	17.4	Install SB right-turn lane, EB left-turn lane, and modify signal timings
	Mitigation	C	33.4	C	20.8	B	13.3	

- Traffic impacts have been identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road intersection during the AM peak hour generator. The eastbound right-turn movement from Lockhill-Selma Road onto Northwest Military Drive currently requires vehicles to stop on red prior to making a right-turn, despite feeding into an add-on lane on southbound Northwest Military Drive. Modifying the signal timings and changing the eastbound right-turn lane turn type from permitted overlap to a free movement is expected to mitigate the impacts. Furthermore, a raised median or “candle stick delineators” should be installed along the turning movement on Northwest Military Drive to divide the existing add-on (acceleration) lane and the thru lanes to encourage drivers to continue through the movement without stopping or yielding (see Table 8). However, because signal timing modifications are required and a pedestrian movement currently crosses the add-on lane, coordination with TxDOT and the City of San Antonio will be required. In addition, a driveway for the adjacent Boy Scouts of America McGimsey Boy Scout Park is approximately 150 feet south of the intersection and is located within the add-on lane. Installing a raised median along the add-on lane may affect access into the development and coordination with the Boy Scouts of America may also be required.
- Traffic impacts were also identified at the Northwest Military Drive at Winston Lane intersection during the AM and PM peak hour generators. Installing an eastbound left-turn lane on Winston Lane, a southbound right-turn lane on Northwest Military Drive, and

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL

## Traffic Impact Analysis

modifying the signal timings are expected to mitigate the impacts. The southbound right-turn lane should be approximately 140 feet in length, extending back to the commercial development driveway along Northwest Military Drive. In addition, it is recommended to widen the Winston Lane approach to two lanes for approximately 500 feet to allow for additional storage for the proposed left-turn lane.

- In accordance with Section 35-502 (e) (2) B & C of the City of San Antonio Code, left- and right-turn lanes are required at all site driveways or streets with a daily entering right- or left-turn project volume of 500 vehicle trips or 50 peak hour vehicle trips. Furthermore, a left-turn lane is required at all median openings. Based on the projected volumes associated with the proposed BASIS Charter School, a right-turn deceleration lane is required at the following site driveway per the TxDOT *Roadway Design Manual* requirements:
  - Winston Lane at Driveway 2: a westbound right-turn lane a minimum of 105 feet in length (75 feet of deceleration length which includes 50 feet of taper, plus 30 feet of storage) based on the posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour. While a minimum length of 105 feet is required for the turn lane, it is recommended to extend the right-turn lane for approximately 350 feet to Driveway 2 to allow for additional queueing into the school. The City of Castle Hills must review and approve of this turn lane.
  - The intersection sight distance provided should be in accordance with distances cited for each type of maneuver (exiting right-turn, left-turn or crossing, and entering left-turn) in *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 6th Edition*, 2011 published by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)<sup>10</sup>. The posted speed limit on Winston Lane is 25 miles per hour. Landscaping, parking, and signs should be placed so that they do not obstruct visibility for motorists exiting the

---

<sup>10</sup> American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. 6th Edition*, 2011, Washington, D.C.

# CASTLE HILLS BASIS CHARTER SCHOOL


## Traffic Impact Analysis

site. The location of constructed or cut walls should be carefully evaluated in proximity to driveways/streets to prevent creating a sight obstruction. Design of new roadways should provide for adequate stopping sight distance and should consider future driveway and median opening locations. If main roadway is under design, consideration should be given to adjustment of K-factors to provide intersection sight distance versus stopping sight distance.

**Intersection Sight Distances at 2-lane Undivided Roadways & Level Conditions (feet)**

Speed (mph)	Distance for Exiting Left-Turn (A Leg)	Distance for Exiting Right Turn or Crossing (B Leg)	Distance for Entering Left-Turn (C Leg)
30	335	290	245
35	390	335	285
40	445	385	325
45	500	430	365
50	555	480	405
55	610	530	445

*Note: Distances should be adjusted for additional lanes, grades and medians. For adjustments see AASHTO Green Book*



- Driveway throat lengths should be constructed in accordance with the City of Castle Hills and TxDOT requirements to facilitate safe and efficient traffic flow.
- Signs and markings should conform to the latest edition of the *Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*<sup>11</sup>.

<sup>11</sup> Texas Department of Transportation. 2011. *Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (Texas MUTCD). Austin, Texas.

# **APPENDICES**

# **APPENDIX A**

## **Traffic Count Data**

North/South Street:		NW Military Dr		Lockhill Selma		Synchro Node:		Raw Data:	
East/West Street:		AM		Date:		9-Nov-16		P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\site2644-01	
TOD:		Northbound		Southbound		NW Military Dr		Lockhill Selma	
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through
7:00 AM		17	72	0	24	231	4	2	39
7:15 AM		37	77	0	35	311	5	4	80
7:30 AM		62	127	0	33	416	4	11	101
7:45 AM		59	137	0	85	412	7	14	141
8:00 AM		89	211	2	68	379	3	12	97
8:15 AM		36	98	0	62	284	6	7	105
8:30 AM		68	120	0	30	174	4	6	77
8:45 AM		48	138	0	42	199	4	6	51
Total		416	980	2	379	2406	37	62	691
Peak Hour		246	573	2	248	1491	20	44	444

Pedestrians				
	NB	SB	EB	WB
7:00 AM				
7:15 AM				
7:30 AM				
7:45 AM				
8:00 AM				
8:15 AM				
8:30 AM				
8:45 AM				




Diagram for:  
Peak Hour:


07:00 AM-08:00 AM

07:30 AM-08:30 AM

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

North/South Street:		NW Military Dr												
East/West Street:		Winston Ln												
TOD:	AM	Date:	9-Nov-16											
		Synchro Node:	2 Raw Data: P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\site2644-02											
		Southbound	Eastbound											
		NW Military Dr	Winston Ln											
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	
7:00 AM		4	86	6	0	311	10	7	1	4	1	0	0	0
7:15 AM		6	127	2	1	385	21	5	0	10	8	1	0	0
7:30 AM	10	149	4	0	463	32	33	1	13	2	1	1	0	0
7:45 AM	7	143	1	0	475	34	45	2	7	4	3	3	0	0
8:00 AM	4	217	4	0	357	16	30	1	9	4	1	3	0	0
8:15 AM	2	144	5	1	308	12	12	2	8	5	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	2	162	3	0	243	10	6	3	1	1	2	1	1	0
8:45 AM	0	159	5	1	242	5	9	0	9	4	0	1	1	0
Total	35	1187	30	3	2784	140	147	10	61	29	8	5	5	0
Peak Hour	27	636	11	1	1680	103	113	4	39	18	6	3	3	0

Pedestrians				
	NB	SB	EB	WB
7:00 AM				
7:15 AM				
7:30 AM				
7:45 AM				
8:00 AM				
8:15 AM				
8:30 AM				
8:45 AM				



**PAPE-DAWSON**  
**ENGINEERS**

North/South Street:		NW Military Dr	
East/West Street:		West Ave	
TOD:	AM	Date:	9-Nov-16
		Northbound	
		Southbound	
NW Military Dr		NW Military Dr	
Time	Left	Through	Right
7:00 AM	4	83	30
7:05 AM	3	126	35
7:30 AM	8	141	60
7:45 AM	6	149	53
8:00 AM	5	154	32
8:15 AM	10	115	58
8:30 AM	7	103	65
8:45 AM	7	150	37
Total	50	1021	370
Peak Hour	22	570	180

Time	Left	Through	Right
7:00 AM	4	83	30
7:05 AM	3	126	35
7:30 AM	8	141	60
7:45 AM	6	149	53
8:00 AM	5	154	32
8:15 AM	10	115	58
8:30 AM	7	103	65
8:45 AM	7	150	37
Total	50	1021	370
Peak Hour	22	570	180

Pedestrians				
	NB	SB	EB	WB
7:00 AM				
7:15 AM				
7:30 AM				
7:45 AM				
8:00 AM				
8:15 AM				
8:30 AM				
8:45 AM				





Diagram for: 07:00 AM-08:00 AM  
Peak Hour: 07:15 AM-08:15 AM

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**



North/South Street:		Castle Ln		West Ave	
East/West Street:					
TOD:	AM	Date:	9-Nov-16	Synchro Node:	4
				Southbound	Raw Data: P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\Site2644-04
				Northbound	Eastbound
				Castle Ln	West Ave
Time		Left	Through	Right	
		Left	Through	Right	
7:00 AM		0	3	0	
7:15 AM	3	0	19	0	
7:30 AM	18	0	54	0	
7:45 AM	21	0	70	0	
8:00 AM	5	0	21	0	
8:15 AM	1	0	3	0	
8:30 AM	0	0	3	1	
8:45 AM	0	0	1	0	
Total	48	0	174	1	
Peak Hour	47	0	164	0	

Pedestrians				
	NB	SB	EB	WB
7:00 AM				
7:15 AM				
7:30 AM				
7:45 AM				
8:00 AM				
8:15 AM				
8:30 AM				
8:45 AM				



**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

North/South Street:		NW Military Dr		Synchro Node:		1 Raw Data: P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Raw\site2644-01	
TOD:	PM	Date:	9-Nov-16	Northbound	Southbound	Eastbound	Westbound
		NW Military Dr		NW Military Dr		Lockhill Selma	Lockhill Selma
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right
2:00 PM	73	194	0	59	226	10	7
2:15 PM	75	207	1	53	221	5	14
2:30 PM	111	222	0	58	189	19	16
2:45 PM	90	221	0	47	216	7	20
3:00 PM	90	197	0	36	173	10	29
3:15 PM	124	191	0	30	136	8	25
3:30 PM	134	244	0	23	146	16	34
3:45 PM	115	218	0	43	150	9	41
4:00 PM	141	245	1	49	203	6	28
4:15 PM	128	198	0	45	166	9	39
4:30 PM	132	198	0	26	136	14	45
4:45 PM	118	196	0	30	162	14	49
Total	1331	2531	2	499	2124	127	347
Peak Hour	519	837	1	150	667	43	161

Pedestrians

	NB	SB	EB	WB
3:00 PM				
3:15 PM				
3:30 PM				
3:45 PM				
4:00 PM				
4:15 PM				
4:30 PM				
4:45 PM				
5:00 PM				
5:15 PM				
5:30 PM				
5:45 PM				

Diagram for: Peak Hour  
Peak Hour: 05:00 PM-06:00 PM

**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

North/South Street:		NW Military Dr		Winston Ln		Synchro Node:		Raw Data: P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\site2644-02			
TOD:	PM	Date:	9-Nov-16	Northbound		Southbound		Eastbound		Westbound	
		NW Military Dr		NW Military Dr				Winston Ln		Winston Ln	
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left
3:00 PM	9	251	5	1	236	21	6	0	4	3	1
3:15 PM	7	246	3	1	230	26	23	0	6	2	0
3:30 PM	6	261	3	2	187	8	41	3	12	2	0
3:45 PM	3	237	0	3	179	5	14	0	6	6	1
4:00 PM	2	283	10	0	210	3	8	2	1	4	3
4:15 PM	1	274	6	1	179	6	11	1	6	10	0
4:30 PM	6	312	8	0	214	8	12	0	3	7	1
4:45 PM	4	295	6	2	197	8	11	1	3	2	3
5:00 PM	7	318	4	3	227	11	18	0	12	1	2
5:15 PM	6	288	2	0	216	14	21	1	4	0	2
5:30 PM	1	280	2	1	239	10	11	1	0	4	0
5:45 PM	0	255	4	0	176	12	12	19	7	2	23
Total	52	3300	53	14	2490	132	188	28	64	43	38
Peak Hour	23	1213	20	5	854	41	62	2	22	10	8
Pedestrians											
		NB	SB	WB	EB	WB		0.84	0.90	0.33	0.63
3:00 PM								47	858	4	27
3:15 PM										14	1141
3:30 PM										7	0.44
3:45 PM											
4:00 PM											
4:15 PM											
4:30 PM											
4:45 PM											
5:00 PM											
5:15 PM											
5:30 PM											
5:45 PM											

Diagram for: 05:00 PM-06:00 PM  
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM-05:30 PM


**PAPE-DAWSON**  
**ENGINEERS**



North/South Street: NW Military Dr		East/West Street: West Ave		Synchro Node: 3		Raw Data: P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\site2644-0	
TOD:	PM	Date: 9-Nov-16		Northbound	Southbound	Eastbound	Westbound
		NW Military Dr	NW Military Dr	West Ave	West Ave	West Ave	Westbound
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right
3:00 PM	10	205	56	10	201	58	80
3:15 PM	7	187	60	10	189	49	62
3:30 PM	9	224	54	23	189	65	66
3:45 PM	13	239	69	8	140	66	74
4:00 PM	6	230	61	9	154	65	58
4:15 PM	7	253	68	14	125	52	82
4:30 PM	8	280	55	13	143	77	77
4:45 PM	9	257	66	3	135	75	63
5:00 PM	6	263	52	10	149	80	69
5:15 PM	10	261	78	13	158	65	70
5:30 PM	10	262	70	15	172	55	55
5:45 PM	3	269	68	9	155	65	65
Total	98	2930	757	137	1910	772	821
Peak Hour	29	1055	268	47	634	265	259

Pedestrians

	NB	SB	EB	WB
3:00 PM				
3:15 PM				
3:30 PM				
3:45 PM				
4:00 PM				
4:15 PM				
4:30 PM				
4:45 PM				
5:00 PM				
5:15 PM				
5:30 PM				
5:45 PM				




**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**

North/South Street:		Castle Ln		West Ave		.	
TOD:	PM	Date:	9-Nov-16	Synchro Node:	4	Raw Data:	P:\112\34\00\Traffic\Data\Raw\site2644-04
		Northbound	Southbound	Eastbound	Westbound	West Ave	West Ave
Time		Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right
3:00 PM	11	0	63	2	0	0	6
3:15 PM	6	0	36	0	0	6	1
3:30 PM	7	1	32	0	0	3	1
3:45 PM	0	0	11	2	0	4	2
4:00 PM	4	0	18	1	0	1	1
4:15 PM	5	1	24	0	0	1	6
4:30 PM	1	0	16	0	0	1	3
4:45 PM	0	0	7	0	0	1	1
5:00 PM	2	0	39	1	0	1	3
5:15 PM	0	0	11	1	0	2	0
5:30 PM	0	0	3	1	0	2	4
5:45 PM	0	0	4	0	0	2	1
Total	36	2	264	8	0	24	29
Peak Hour	2	0	60	3	0	6	8

Pedestrians							
	NB	SB	EB	WB			
3:00 PM					0.88	0.00	0.75
3:15 PM					7	0	3
3:30 PM							
3:45 PM							
4:00 PM							
4:15 PM							
4:30 PM							
4:45 PM							
5:00 PM							
5:15 PM							
5:30 PM							
5:45 PM							



**PAPE-DAWSON  
ENGINEERS**




## Average Daily Traffic

Project No.: 11234-00  
 Station No.:  
 Counter No.: 1

Location: Winston Ln  
 City/State: Castle Hills, TX  
 Date: November 9, 2016  
 Day of Week: Wednesday

Site: Castle Hills - BASIS



Time	Peak	Eastbound	Westbound	Time	Peak	Eastbound	Westbound
		TMC	TMC			TMC	TMC
24:00		0	0	12:00	3	3	3
0:15		0	0	12:15	3	3	3
0:30		0	0	12:30	2	2	2
0:45		0	0	12:45	1	9	4
1:00		0	0	13:00	0	0	1
1:15		0	0	13:15	2	2	2
1:30		0	0	13:30	3	3	3
1:45		0	0	13:45	2	7	2
2:00		0	0	14:00	*	1	2
2:15		0	0	14:15	*	5	4
2:30		0	0	14:30	*	5	4
2:45		0	0	14:45	*	2	13
3:00		0	0	15:00	9	9	5
3:15		0	0	15:15	5	5	11
3:30		0	0	15:30	7	7	6
3:45		0	0	15:45	4	25	6
4:00		0	0	16:00	2	2	3
4:15		2	1	16:15	11	11	2
4:30		0	1	16:30	5	5	9
4:45		0	2	16:45	5	23	1
5:00		0	1	17:00	*	9	13
5:15		1	0	17:15	*	6	10
5:30		0	0	17:30	*	7	7
5:45		0	1	17:45	*	4	26
6:00		1	0	18:00	3	3	3
6:15		1	0	18:15	6	6	4
6:30		1	1	18:30	2	2	2
6:45		4	7	18:45	3	14	5
7:00		4	5	19:00	0	0	2
7:15	*	7	13	19:15	1	1	5
7:30	*	6	12	19:30	2	2	3
7:45	*	21	38	19:45	1	4	2
8:00	*	9	12	20:00	3	3	3
8:15		8	6	20:15	0	0	4
8:30		7	8	20:30	1	1	7
8:45		8	32	20:45	0	4	0
9:00		1	1	21:00	1	1	3
9:15		3	2	21:15	0	0	0
9:30		4	2	21:30	1	1	1
9:45		5	13	21:45	1	3	0
10:00		3	5	22:00	0	0	1
10:15		1	1	22:15	0	0	0
10:30		3	3	22:30	0	0	0
10:45		2	9	22:45	1	1	0
11:00		1	3	23:00	0	0	1
11:15		1	5	23:15	0	0	0
11:30		2	1	23:30	2	2	1
11:45		3	7	23:45	0	2	0
AM Peak Hour		7:15-8:15		Directional Volumes		240	272
% of ADT		18.4%				24-Hour Volume	512
PM Peak Hour		17:00-18:00					
% of ADT		11.7%					

# **APPENDIX B**

# **Trip Generation Data**

Roadway Network Peak Hour Rates - Trip Generation 9th Edition												
Land Use (ITE)	Size	Unit	AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour		Daily	AM (7 AM - 8 AM)*		PM (5 PM - 6 PM)		Daily
			Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit		Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	
Private School (K-12) (536)	1127	Students	0.49	0.32	0.07	0.10	2.48	-	-	82	109	2795
*Same rates as AM Generator							Total	-	-	191	2795	

School Generator Peak Hour Rates - Trip Generation 9th Edition												
Land Use (ITE)	Size**	Unit	AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour		Daily	AM (7 AM - 8 AM)		PM (3 PM - 4 PM)		Daily
			Generator	Generator	Generator	Generator		Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	
Private School (K-12) (536)	902	Students	0.49	0.32	0.24	0.34	2.48	446	285	220	303	2795
**Assumes 80% of 1,127 students use these times based on data provided by BASIS							Total	731	523	523	2795	

**From:** Shauna Weaver @PD [mailto:[SWeaver@pape-dawson.com](mailto:SWeaver@pape-dawson.com)]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 04, 2016 3:15 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Gelbart <[jonathan.gelbart@basised.com](mailto:jonathan.gelbart@basised.com)>  
**Cc:** Lyn Music <[lyn.music@basised.com](mailto:lyn.music@basised.com)>; Barb DeLisa <[barb.delisa@basised.com](mailto:barb.delisa@basised.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Traffic study?

Ok - Thanks.

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy S7.

**Shauna Weaver, P.E., LEED AP BD+C | Sr. Vice President**

**Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc.**

TBPE Firm Registration #470 | TBPLS Firm Registration #10028800

2000 NW Loop 410, San Antonio, TX 78213

**P:** 210.375.9000 | **E:** [SWeaver@pape-dawson.com](mailto:SWeaver@pape-dawson.com) | [vcard](#)

**San Antonio | Austin | Houston | Fort Worth | Dallas**

*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*

*This electronic mail transmission may be confidential, may be privileged, and should be read or retained only by the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete it from your system.*

----- Original message -----

**From:** Jonathan Gelbart <[jonathan.gelbart@basised.com](mailto:jonathan.gelbart@basised.com)>  
**Date:** 11/4/16 5:14 PM (GMT-06:00)  
**To:** "Shauna Weaver @PD" <[SWeaver@pape-dawson.com](mailto:SWeaver@pape-dawson.com)>  
**Cc:** Lyn Music <[lyn.music@basised.com](mailto:lyn.music@basised.com)>, Barb DeLisa <[barb.delisa@basised.com](mailto:barb.delisa@basised.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Traffic study?

Hi Shauna,

We have an updated maximum projected enrollment:

	FY19
Total	
6th	240
7th	237
8th	223
9th	161
10th	108
11th	85
12th	73
<b>Total</b>	<b>1127</b>

Thank you,

Jonathan

**From:** Jonathan Gelbart  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2016 1:24 AM  
**To:** 'Shauna Weaver @PD' <[SWeaver@pape-dawson.com](mailto:SWeaver@pape-dawson.com)>  
**Cc:** Lyn Music <[lyn.music@basised.com](mailto:lyn.music@basised.com)>; Barb DeLisa <[barb.delisa@basised.com](mailto:barb.delisa@basised.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Traffic study?

Great, thanks so much.

Maximum projected enrollment as of right now:

6 <sup>th</sup>	184
7 <sup>th</sup>	181
8 <sup>th</sup>	167
9 <sup>th</sup>	119
10 <sup>th</sup>	126
11 <sup>th</sup>	134
12 <sup>th</sup>	92
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,003</b>

Note that roughly 75 students take a school bus home. The rest are picked up by parents.

Potential start and stop times (subject to change):

1. 7:35 AM
  - a. 1/3 of school expected to arrive between 7:00 and 7:10
  - b. 1/3 of school expected to arrive between 7:25 and 7:35
2. 8:30
  - a. Final third of school arrives consistently between 7:35 and 8:30
3. 2:55 PM
  - a. 1/4 to 1/3 of school dismissed at this time
4. 3:50
  - a. 1/2 of school dismissed at this time
5. 5:00 (extracurriculars)
  - a. Remainder of school leaves at this time

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Shauna Weaver @PD [<mailto:SWeaver@pape-dawson.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2016 2:26 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Gelbart <[jonathan.gelbart@basised.com](mailto:jonathan.gelbart@basised.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Traffic study?

We have traffic engineers in house who can produce Traffic Impact Analysis reports. In fact, we are in process on a few for various charter schools right now.

Please send me your projected student counts and I will get you a proposal tomorrow.

Shauna Weaver, P.E., LEED AP BD+C | Sr. Vice President Pape-Dawson Engineers, Inc.  
TBPE Firm Registration #470 | TBPLS Firm Registration #10028800

# **APPENDIX C**

# **Level of Service Descriptions**

### Level of Service at Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Average Intersection Delay (sec/veh)	Description
A	$\leq 10$	No delays at intersection, smooth progression of traffic. Uncongested operations. All vehicles clear in a single signal cycle.
B	$> 10 \text{ and } \leq 20$	No delays at intersection, smooth progression of traffic. Uncongested operations. All vehicles clear in a single signal cycle.
C	$> 20 \text{ and } \leq 35$	Moderate delay, satisfactory to good progression of traffic. Light congestion, occasional backups on critical (high volume) approaches.
D	$> 35 \text{ and } \leq 55$	Little or no progression of traffic along the roadway with a high probability of stopping at signalized intersections operating at this level of service. Significant congestion on critical approaches, but intersection is functional. Vehicles required to wait through more than one cycle during short peak periods.
E	$> 55 \text{ and } \leq 80$	Heavy traffic flow conditions. Delays of two or more traffic signal cycles probably. No progression may occur if signal does not provide for protected turning movements.
F	$> 80$	Unstable traffic flow. Heavy congestion. Traffic moves in forced flow condition. Three or more cycles required to pass intersection. Total breakdown with stop and go conditions.
*	$> > 80$	Very unstable traffic flow. Very heavy congestion. Traffic moves in forced flow condition. More than three cycles required to pass intersection. Total breakdown. Stop and go only. Delays are beyond the range of the <i>Highway Capacity Manual</i> equations. Represents an extreme level of over saturation.

Level of service at signalized intersections is determined by the average vehicle delay at the intersection. Values can be reported for the intersection as a whole or for each individual movement. The general characteristics associated with each level of service for signalized intersections are presented in the table above.

### **Level of Service at Unsignalized Intersections**

Level of Service	Average Intersection Delay (sec/veh)	Description
A	$\leq 10$	Little or no delay
B	$> 10 \text{ and } \leq 15$	Short traffic delay
C	$> 15 \text{ and } \leq 25$	Average traffic delay
D	$> 25 \text{ and } \leq 35$	Long traffic delay
E	$> 35 \text{ and } \leq 50$	Very long traffic delay
F	$> 50$	Extreme delays, possibly severe congestion

Level of service at unsignalized intersections is determined by the average delay a vehicle experiences at each intersection approach. An overall intersection delay and LOS is reported for All-Way-Stop-Controlled (AWSC) intersections. However, at Two-Way-Stop-Controlled (TWSC) intersections, delay is primarily experienced by vehicles on the stop-controlled approaches only. Therefore, a different level of service is reported for each stop-controlled approach at TWSC intersections. The general characteristics associated with each level of service for unsignalized intersections are based on the *Highway Capacity Manual*.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Transportation Research Board/National Research Council. 2000. *Highway Capacity Manual. Third Edition*, Washington, D.C.

# **APPENDIX D**

# **Capacity Analyses Worksheets**

**HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis**  
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road

**Existing AM Peak Hour**  
12/16/2016

Movement	N	E	W	NW	NE	SW	SE	N	E	W	NW	NE	SW	SE
Lane Configurations	1	4	7	4	7	4	7	1	4	7	4	7	1	4
Traffic Volume (vph)	31	361	724	12	182	122	175	413	0	177	1370	20	5	0
Future Volume (vph)	31	351	724	12	182	122	175	413	0	177	1370	20	5	0
Peak Flow (vph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	8.6	5.5	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	5.5	5.5	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Ag. Flow (vph)	34	392	787	13	192	198	133	190	449	0	192	1489	22	0
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	53	0	0	109	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1
Lane Group Flow (vph)	34	392	734	13	198	24	190	449	0	192	1489	11	0	1
Turn Type	Prod	NA	Permit	Permit	NA	Permit	Prod	NA	Permit	NA	Permit	NA	D/P+P	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	8	0	5	2	1	6	4	4	4	5	2
Permitted Phases													1	6
Actualized Green, G(s)	4.2	31.5	44.0	21.0	21.0	8	12.5	54.9	2	14.9	57.3	57.3	16.1	91.9
Effective Green, g(s)	4.2	31.5	44.0	21.0	21.0	8	12.5	54.9	14.9	57.3	57.3	57.3	16.1	91.9
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.04	0.26	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.12	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.13	0.77
C clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	61	489	632	110	338	287	357	1619	248	1669	755	187	204	150
v/s Radio Prod	0.02	0.21	0.21	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.16	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	c0.16	0.00
v/s Radio Perm													0.14	0.00
v/c Radio													0.10	0.19
v/c Ratio	0.58	0.80	1.13	0.12	0.59	0.08	0.57	0.28	0.77	0.40	0.61	0.41	45.9	3.7
Uniform Delay, d1	57.0	41.3	38.0	41.1	45.0	40.8	51.0	50.9	26.3	16.5	1.00	3.50	3.50	0.67
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.21	1.71	1.00	1.00	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6
Incremental Delay, d2	6.1	6.7	75.4	0.2	1.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	12.6	7.0	0.0	31.2	14.7	2.5
Delay (s)	63.1	50.0	113.4	41.2	44.6	40.9	62.7	35.0	63.5	35.3	16.5	D	C	A
Level of Service	E	D	F	D	D	E	C	E	D	B	D	B	D	B
Approach Delay (s)	91.5	44.2	43.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
Approach LOS	F	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	B

5:00 pm 1/11/2016 Baseline

Synchro 9 Report  
Page 1

**HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis**  
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane

**Existing AM Peak Hour**  
1/21/2016

Movement	N	E	W	NW	NE	SW	SE	N	E	W	NW	NE	SW	SE
Lane Configurations	1	4	7	4	7	4	7	1	4	7	4	7	1	4
Lane Configurations	1	4	7	4	7	4	7	1	4	7	4	7	1	4
Traffic Volume (vph)	90	4	34	15	5	0	27	505	13	1	1634	97	1	1634
Future Volume (vph)	90	4	34	15	5	0	27	505	13	1	1634	97	1	1634
Peak Flow (vph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fr Protected	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	0.97	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1735	1770	1863	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1735	1770	1863	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Ag. Flow (vph)	98	4	37	16	5	0	29	549	14	1	1776	105	1	1776
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	127	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	29	562	0	1
Turn Type	Perm	NA	D/P+P	NA										
Protected Phases													1	6
Permitted Phases													6	2
Actualized Green, G(s)	16.1	91.9	91.1	16.1	91.9	91.1	16.1	91.9	91.1	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9
Effective Green, g(s)	16.1	91.9	91.1	16.1	91.9	91.1	16.1	91.9	91.1	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	187	204	204	150	2678	623	2542							
v/s Radio Prod								0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	c0.54	
v/s Radio Perm								0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
v/c Radio								0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	
v/c Ratio	49.5	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9
Uniform Delay, d1	1.00	3.50	3.50	1.00	3.50	3.50	1.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Progression Factor	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4	9.4
Incremental Delay, d2	58.9	45.8	45.8	58.9	45.8	45.8	58.9	45.8	45.8	45.8	45.8	45.8	45.8	45.8
Delay (s)	E	D	C	E	D	C	E	D	C	B	C	B	D	B
Level of Service	E	D	F	D	D	E	C	E	D	B	D	B	D	B
Approach Delay (s)	91.5	44.2	43.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
Approach LOS	F	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	B

**Summary**

**HCM 2000 Control Delay**

**HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio**

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis									
3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue									
Existing AM Peak Hour 12/16/2016									
Approach	TEB	EPR	EWL	WPL	NEI	NET	EBP	SBR	SBP
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	133	255	95	333	677	26	21	499	173
Future Volume (vph)	133	255	95	333	677	26	21	1093	546
Model Flow (vphp)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane LOS Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ft Protected	1.00	0.96	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prod)	0.95	1.00	0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Ft Permitted	0.19	1.00	0.40	1.00	0.04	1.00	0.34	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (norm)	361	3395	747	3520	149	3539	1583	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Aq. Flow (vph)	145	277	103	362	736	26	23	542	193
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	20	0	0	2	0	0	124	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	145	351	0	362	762	0	23	542	69
Turn Type	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	Perr	D-P+P	NA
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	1	3	8	1	7
Permitted Phases	6	2	2	4	4	6	6	6	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	51.6	30.3	51.6	40.6	52.4	43.2	52.4	50.0	50.0
Effective Green, g (s)	51.6	30.3	51.6	40.6	52.4	43.2	52.4	50.0	50.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.43	0.25	0.43	0.34	0.44	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.42
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Cap Gap (vph)	284	857	502	1190	97	1274	569	364	1474
v/c Ratio Prod	0.05	0.10	0.13	0.22	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.34	0.00
v/c Ratio Perr	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.23	0.00
Volume Total	27	205	216	191	97	27	159	0	46
Volume Left	0	46	26	0	3	0	0	1	1
Volume Right	92	143	661	245	143	92	143	119	738
cSH	661	537	738	1700	1174	1700	1174	1174	1174
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.38	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.30
Queue Length 95th (%)	3	44	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Control Delay (s)	10.7	15.8	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	B	C	A	A	C	B	C	A	A
Approach Delay (s)	10.7	15.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delay	24.3	HCM 2000 Level of Service							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.76	C							
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	68.7%	16.0							
Analysis Period (min)	15	C ICU Level of Service							
C Critical Lane Group									

HCM Unsignedalized Intersection Capacity Analysis									
4: West Avenue & Castle Lane									
Existing AM Peak Hour 12/16/2016									
Approach	TEB	EPR	EWL	WPL	NEI	NET	EBP	SBR	SBP
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	133	255	95	333	677	26	21	499	173
Future Volume (vph)	133	255	95	333	677	26	21	1093	546
Model Flow (vphp)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane LOS Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ft Protected	1.00	0.96	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prod)	0.95	1.00	0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Ft Permitted	0.19	1.00	0.40	1.00	0.04	1.00	0.34	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (norm)	361	3395	747	3520	149	3539	1583	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Aq. Flow (vph)	145	277	103	362	736	26	23	542	193
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	20	0	0	2	0	0	124	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	145	351	0	362	762	0	23	542	69
Turn Type	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	Perr	D-P+P	NA
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	1	3	8	1	7
Permitted Phases	6	2	2	4	4	6	6	6	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	51.6	30.3	51.6	40.6	52.4	43.2	52.4	50.0	50.0
Effective Green, g (s)	51.6	30.3	51.6	40.6	52.4	43.2	52.4	50.0	50.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.43	0.25	0.43	0.34	0.44	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.42
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Cap Gap (vph)	284	857	502	1190	97	1274	569	364	1474
v/c Ratio Prod	0.05	0.10	0.13	0.22	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.34	0.00
v/c Ratio Perr	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.23	0.00
Volume Total	27	205	216	191	97	27	159	0	46
Volume Left	0	46	26	0	3	0	1	1	1
Volume Right	92	143	661	245	143	92	143	119	738
cSH	661	537	738	1700	1174	1700	1174	1174	1174
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.38	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.30
Queue Length 95th (%)	3	44	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Control Delay (s)	10.7	15.8	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0
Lane LOS	B	C	A	A	C	B	C	A	A
Approach Delay (s)	10.7	15.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Intersection Summary									
HCM 2000 Control Delay	24.3	HCM 2000 Level of Service							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.76	C							
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	68.7%	16.0							
Analysis Period (min)	15	C ICU Level of Service							
C Critical Lane Group									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
1: NW Military Drive & Lockheed/Selma Road											
Segment	TR	LB	EB	WB	WE	WE	NB	NB	EB	WB	WE
Lane Configurations	1	4	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	57	244	254	8	229	183	349	844	1	217	852
Future Volume (vph)	57	244	294	8	229	163	349	844	1	217	852
Ideal Flow (vpho)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Width (feet)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.85
Fl Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95
Sid. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1770	1863	1853	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Fl Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Sid. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1593	1110	1863	1853	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	62	265	320	9	249	177	379	917	1	238	926
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	44	0	0	148	0	0	1	0	28
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	265	270	9	249	29	379	917	0	238	928
Turn Type	Prot	NA	perm	perm	NA	perm	prot	NA	perm	NA	perm
Protected Phases	7	4	5	6	5	2	1	6	4	4	5
Permitted Phases	4	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.5	31.6	56.3	19.8	19.8	24.5	45.9	21.6	45.0	45.0	45.0
Effective Green, g (s)	6.5	31.6	56.3	19.8	19.8	24.5	45.9	21.6	45.0	45.0	45.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.27	0.47	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.36	0.20	0.38	0.38	0.38
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	5.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	6.6	5.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	95	493	815	183	307	261	700	1353	605	394	1327
vis Radio Prot	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.26	0.12	0.26	0.12
vis Radio Perm	0.85	0.54	0.34	0.05	0.81	0.11	0.54	0.68	0.00	0.60	0.03
vis Radio	55.6	37.8	20.1	42.2	48.3	42.6	42.7	30.9	22.9	43.9	31.7
Uniform Delay, d1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.02	1.08	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Progression Factor	11.6	0.6	0.1	14.2	0.1	0.4	2.6	0.0	1.6	3.1	0.1
Incremental Delay, d2	67.2	38.4	20.2	42.2	62.5	42.7	44.1	35.9	22.9	45.5	34.8
Delay (s)	E	D	C	D	E	D	D	C	D	C	C
Level of Service	32.1	C	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay (s)	38.5	HCM 2000 Level of Service	D	0.74	Sum of lost time (s)	24.2	120.0	12.3	HCM 2000 Level of Service	B	B
Approach LOS	C	C	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	38.5	HCM 2000 Level of Service	D	0.45	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	0.45	HCM 2000 Control Delay	12.3	HCM 2000 Level of Service	B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.74	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	0.45	Intersection Capacity Utilization	42.2%	0.45	Sum of lost time (s)	12.0	Intersection Capacity Utilization	A
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Analysis Period (min)	15	0.45	Analysis Period (min)	15	0.45	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	12.0	Analysis Period (min)	A
Intersection Capacity Utilization	42.2%	Critical Lane Group	c	0.45	Critical Lane Group	c	0.45	Critical Lane Group	12.0	Critical Lane Group	c

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane											
Segment	TR	LB	EB	WB	WE	WE	NB	NB	EB	WB	WE
Lane Configurations	1	4	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	57	244	294	8	229	163	349	844	1	217	852
Future Volume (vph)	57	244	294	8	229	163	349	844	1	217	852
Ideal Flow (vpho)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Width (feet)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.85
Fl Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95
Sid. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1770	1863	1853	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Fl Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Sid. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1593	1110	1863	1853	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	62	265	320	9	249	177	379	917	1	238	926
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	44	0	0	148	0	0	1	0	28
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	265	270	9	249	29	379	917	0	238	928
Turn Type	Prot	NA	perm	perm	NA	perm	prot	NA	perm	NA	perm
Protected Phases	7	4	5	6	5	2	1	6	4	4	5
Permitted Phases	4	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actuated Green, G (s)	8.5	31.6	56.3	19.8	19.8	24.5	45.9	21.6	45.0	45.0	45.0
Effective Green, g (s)	6.5	31.6	56.3	19.8	19.8	24.5	45.9	21.6	45.0	45.0	45.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.27	0.47	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.36	0.20	0.38	0.38	0.38
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	5.6	5.5	6.6	5.6	6.6	5.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	95	493	815	183	307	261	700	1353	605	394	1327
vis Radio Prot	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.26	0.12	0.26	0.12
vis Radio Perm	0.85	0.54	0.34	0.05	0.81	0.11	0.54	0.68	0.00	0.60	0.03
vis Radio	55.6	37.8	20.1	42.2	48.3	42.6	42.7	30.9	22.9	43.9	31.7
Uniform Delay, d1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.02	1.08	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Progression Factor	11.6	0.6	0.1	14.2	0.1	0.4	2.6	0.0	1.6	3.1	0.1
Incremental Delay, d2	67.2	38.4	20.2	42.2	62.5	42.7	44.1	35.9	22.9	45.5	34.8
Delay (s)	E	D	C	D	E	D	D	C	D	C	C
Level of Service	32.1	C	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Approach Delay (s)	38.5	HCM 2000 Level of Service	D	0.74	Sum of lost time (s)	24.2	120.0	0.45	HCM 2000 Level of Service	B	B
Approach LOS	C	C	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	38.5	HCM 2000 Level of Service	D	0.45	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	0.45	HCM 2000 Control Delay	12.3	HCM 2000 Level of Service	B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.74	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	0.45	Intersection Capacity Utilization	42.2%	0.45	Sum of lost time (s)	12.0	Intersection Capacity Utilization	A
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Analysis Period (min)	15	0.45	Analysis Period (min)	15	0.45	Actuated Cycle Length (s)	12.0	Analysis Period (min)	A
Intersection Capacity Utilization	42.2%	Critical Lane Group	c	0.45	Critical Lane Group	c	0.45	Critical Lane Group	12.0	Critical Lane Group	c



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill Selma Road											
Approach	Phase	Green	Yellow	Red	Wt/Sec						
Northbound	Northbound	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Lane Configurations											
Traffic Volume (vph)	161	393	339	4	495	256	519	837	1	150	687
Future Volume (vph)	151	391	339	4	495	256	519	837	1	150	687
Head Flow (vph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Travel Time (s)	5.5	8.0	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00
FR Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1663	1583	1770	1833	1583	1833	1583	2006	1583	1953
FR Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Peak-hour Flow (pmh)	1770	1663	1583	826	1863	1583	2333	1583	2006	1583	1953
Peak-hour Factor PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	175	427	368	4	538	278	584	910	1	163	725
RTO/R Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	170	0	1	0	36
Lane Group Flow (vph)	175	427	335	4	538	0	584	910	0	163	725
Turn Type	Prot	NA	pm+oy	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	6	8	8	5	2	1	8	6
Permitted Phases											
Unprotected Green, G (s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	2	10.5	28.4	20.4
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	20.4	20.4
Achieved G/C Ratio	0.08	0.40	0.81	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.24
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Cap (vph)	140	151	1034	261	518	440	700	1250	559	175	377
vs/ Radio Prot	0.10	0.23	0.07	cd.29	0.16	0.26	0.08	cd.20			
vs/ Radio Perm											
Uniform Delay, d1	55.2	27.7	11.5	31.4	43.3	33.5	45.5	33.8	25.1	54.4	44.0
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.06	1.00	1.00	1.00
Intersection Capacity, d2	158.0	0.6	0.1	49.9	0.1	6.0	3.5	0.0	47.9	11.7	0.1
Delay (s)	213.5	26.3	11.6	31.4	93.2	33.6	61.4	36.2	25.1	102.3	55.6
Level of Service	F	C	B	C	F	C	D	D	C	F	D
Approach Delay (s)	55.4	E	E	E	72.7	44.0	E	E	D	E	E
Approach LOS											
Intersection Summary											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	56.4	HCM 2000 Level of Service				E					
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.95										
Actualized Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of last time (s)				24.2					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	88.1%	ICU Level of Service				E					
Analysis Period (min)	15										
Critical Lane Group											

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis										Existing PM Roadway Peak											
3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue										02/27/2017											
Lane	EST	EST	WHL	WHL	NET	NET	NET	NET	NET	EST	EST	WHL	WHL	NET	NET	NET	NET	NET	NET		
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	259	455	36	198	632	37	29	1035	268	Traffic Volume (vphh)	3	0	6	2	0	50	6	640	4		
Future Volume (vph)	259	455	36	198	632	37	29	1035	268	Future Volume (vphh)	3	0	6	2	0	50	6	640	4		
Head Flow (vphph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free		
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	Grade	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Frt	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	Hourly Flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	2	0	65	9	596	4	24	
Fl Predicted	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	Pedestrians										7	
Saf. Flow (prot)	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	Lane Width (ft)											
Fl Permitted	0.18	1.00	0.34	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.27	Walking Speed (ft/s)											
Saf. Flow (perm)	332	3500	637	3511	497	3539	1583	167	3339	Percent Blockage											
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	Right Turn Lane (vph)											
Aug. Flow (vph)	282	495	39	215	709	40	32	1147	291	Median type											
RTDR Reduction (vphh)	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	Median storage (vph)											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	282	529	0	215	746	0	12	1147	144	Upstream signal (fl)											
Turn Type	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	PA, platoon unblocked	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	VC, conflicting volume	1.30	1.618	4.28	1.195	1.619	350	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	
Permitted Phases	8	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	vC1, stage 1 conf vol											
Assigned Green, G (s)	55.3	42.3	55.3	37.3	48.7	44.6	44.6	46.7	46.3	vC2, stage 2 conf vol											
Effective Green, g (s)	55.3	42.3	55.3	37.3	48.7	44.6	44.6	46.7	46.3	vOU, unblocked vol	1028	1367	0	868	1369	350	406	700			
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.46	0.35	0.46	0.31	0.41	0.37	0.37	0.41	0.39	IC, single (s)	7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.1	4.1			
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	IC, 2, stage (s)											
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	if (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2	2.2			
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	368	1223	418	1091	227	1315	588	122	1345	pl queue free %	98	100	99	99	100	90	99	99	99	97	
vis Ratio Prot	c0.11	0.15	0.06	0.21	0.00	c0.32	0.01	c0.19	c0.19	Volume Left	139	119	918	201	119	646	924	924	924	933	
vis Ratio Perm	c0.24	0.18	0.05	0.18	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.15	0.07	Volume Left	3	2	9	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	
vis Ratio	0.77	0.43	0.52	0.68	0.14	0.87	0.24	0.42	0.50	Volume Left	7	65	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Uniform Delay, d1	23.4	26.6	20.5	36.2	22.5	33.1	26.1	40.8	28.1	cSH	343	808	824	1700	893	1700					
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.11	0.01	0.21	0.03	0.25					
Incremental Delay, d2	9.2	1.1	1.1	1.5	0.3	6.6	0.2	2.2	0.1	Queue Length (ft) (t)	2	9	1	0	2	0					
Delay (s)	12.6	30.7	21.5	39.7	22.8	41.7	26.3	30.2	13.6	Control Delay (s)	15.8	11.7	0.3	0.0	0.8	0.0					
Level of Service	C	C	C	C	D	C	C	B	B	Lane LOS	C	B	A	A	A	A					
Approach Delay (s)	31.4	35.6	38.2	15.3	D	D	B	B	B	Approach LOS	15.8	11.7	0.2	0.2	0.4						
Approach LOS	C	D	D	B	B	B	B	B	B	Approach LOS	C	B	A	A	A	A					
Intersection Summary										HCM 2000 Control Delay	30.8	HCM 2000 Level of Service	C								
HCM 2000 Control Delay										HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.81	Sum of lost time (s)	16.0								
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio										Actualized Cycle Length (s)	120.0	ICU Level of Service	D								
Intersection Capacity Utilization										Analysis Period (min)	15	ICU Level of Service	A								
c Critical Lane Group																					

HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis										Existing PM Roadway Peak										
4: West Avenue & Castle Lane										02/27/2017										
Lane	EST	EST	WHL	WHL	NET	NET	NET	NET	NET	Lane	EST	EST	WHL	WHL	NET	NET	NET	NET	NET	
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lane Configurations	3	0	6	2	0	50	6	640	4	22
Traffic Volume (vph)	259	455	36	198	632	37	29	1035	268	Future Volume (vphh)	3	0	6	2	0	50	6	640	4	22
Future Volume (vph)	259	455	36	198	632	37	29	1035	268	Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	
Head Flow (vphph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	Grade	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	Hourly Flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	2	0	65	9	596	4	24
Fl Predicted	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	Pedestrians										
Saf. Flow (prot)	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	3500	1770	Lane Width (ft)										
Fl Permitted	0.18	1.00	0.34	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.27	Walking Speed (ft/s)										
Saf. Flow (perm)	332	3500	637	3511	497	3539	1583	167	3339	Percent Blockage										
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	Right Turn Lane (vph)										
Aug. Flow (vph)	282	495	39	215	709	40	32	1147	291	Median type										
RTDR Reduction (vphh)	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	Median storage (vph)										
Lane Group Flow (vph)	282	529	0	215	746	0	12	1147	144	Upstream signal (fl)										
Turn Type	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	N/A	D+P	PA, platoon unblocked	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Protected Phases	8	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	VC1, stage 1 conf vol										
Permitted Phases	5	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	VC2, stage 2 conf vol										
Assigned Green, G (s)	55.3	42.3	55.3	37.3	48.7	44.6	44.6	46.7	46.3	VOU, unblocked vol										
Effective Green, g (s)	55.3	42.3	55.3	37.3	48.7	44.6	44.6	46.7	46.3	IC, single (s)	7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9	4.1	4.1		
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.46	0.35	0.46	0.31	0.41	0.37	0.37	0.41	0.39	IC, 2, stage (s)										
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	if (s)										
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	pl queue free %	98	100	99	99	100	90	99	99	99	97
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	368	1223	418	1091	227	1315	588	122	1345	Volume Left	10	67	337	352	448	431				
vis Ratio Prot	c0.11	0.15	0.06	0.21	0.00	c0.32	0.01	c0.19	c0.19	Volume Left	3	2</td								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane						
Measure	Unit	EDT	EDP	WL	WU	W
Lane Configurations		4	4	35	16	
Traffic Volume (vph)		94	4	4	35	16
Future Volume (vph)		94	4	35	16	
Head Flow (vphpl)		1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.0				
Lane Use Factor		1.00		1		
FIT		0.36		1		
FIT Protected		0.87		0		
Satd. Flow (prot)		1735		17		
FIT Permitted		0.78		0		
Satd. Flow (perm)		1384		15		
Protected Phases		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0
Permitted Phases		0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0
Avg. Flow (vph)		102	4	38	17	
RTRD Reduction (vph)		0	11	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)		0	133	0	0	
Lane Type		Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		0				
Permitted Phases		0				
Actuated Green, G (s)		16.8		1		
Effective Green, g (s)		16.8		11		
Actualized g/C Ratio		0.14		0		
Clearance Time (s)		4.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0				
Lane Gap Cap (vph)		182				2
vis Ratio Prot						
vis Ratio Perm						
vis Ratio		c@ 10				
Uniform Delay, d <sub>1</sub>		0.63				
Progression Factor		49.3				
Incremental Delay, d <sub>2</sub>		1.06				
Delay (s)		10.3				
Level of Service		E				
Approach Delay (s)		59.5				
Approach LOS		E				
Intersection Summary						
HCM 2000 Control Delay		15.8				
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.73				
Actualized Cycle Length (s)		120.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilization		65.0%				
Analysis Period (min)		15				

No Build AM Peak	12/16/2016
<b>HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis</b>	
<b>3: NW Military Drive &amp; West Avenue</b>	
Lane Configurations	1/4
Total Volume (vph)	138
Future Volume (vph)	265
Historical Flow (vphd)	99
Total Lost time (s)	346
Lane Utilization Factor	1.00
Flt in Protected	0.95
Flt Protected	1.00
Sat. Flow (prot)	0.95
Flt R-Permitted	1.00
Sat. Flow (perm)	0.95
Protected Flow (prot), PHF	1.00
Protected Flow (perm), PHF	0.95
Ag. Flow (vph)	150
RTR Reduction (vph)	285
Lane Group Flow (vph)	108
Lane Type	D/P+P NA
Protected Phases	5 2
Permitted Phases	6
Acuated Green, G (s)	26.9
Effective Green, g (s)	26.9
Effective绿, g (s)	0.42
Cycle Time (s)	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	271
v/s Ratio Prod	0.05
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11
v/s Ratio	0.18
Intersection Capacity Utilization	0.45
Uniform Delay, d1	24.0
Progression Factor	30.8
Incremental Delay, d2	1.00
Delay (s)	1.00
Level of Service	C
Approach LOS	D
Approach LOS	D
Intersection Summary	
HCM 2000 Level of Service	C
HCM 2000 Control Delay	24.8
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.79
Actualized Cycle Length (s)	126.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	71.1%
Analysis Period (min)	15
G (Green), r (Red), y (Yellow)	6 Critical Lane Group

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis												No Build AM Peak							
4: West Avenue & Castle Lane												12/15/2015							
Approach	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	S
Approach	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	W	E	N	S	W	E	N	S
Lane Configurations	4w				4w				4w			4w				4w			
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	26	44	0	152	25	364	1	3	945	10				41			
Sign Control	0	0	25	44	0	152	25	364	1	3	945	10				Free			
Grade	0%				Stop				Free			Free				Free			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Peak Hour Flow rate (vph)	0	0	28	48	0	155	27	396	1	3	1027	11							
Lane Width (ft)																			
Walking Speed (ft/s)																			
Percent Blockage																			
Right Turn Lane (veh)																			
Median type																			
Upstream signal (fl)																			
Upstream platoon unblocked (v/c, conflicting volume (v/c), stage 1 cont vol v/c2, stage 2 cont vol v/c3, unblocked vol)	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	
IF (s)	1.5	3.5	6.5	9.5	7.5	13.32	28.1	79.0	133.8	198.8	234	367	397	407	417	427	437	447	
IF (s)	1.5	3.5	6.5	9.5	7.5	13.32	28.1	79.0	133.8	198.8	234	367	397	407	417	427	437	447	
pl queue free %	100	100	98	98	80	100	80	100	80	96	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
car capacity (veh/h)	64	133	688	236	132	609	720												
Approach Lane #	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Volume Total	28	213	225	199	516	524													
Volume Left	0	49	27	0	3	0													
Volume Right	28	165	0	1	0	11													
CSH																			
Volume to Capacity																			
Queue Length 95% (ft)	0.04	0.41	0.94	0.12	0.00	0.31													
Control Delay (s)	10.6	16.5	1.6	0	0.1	0.0													
Lane LOS	B	C	A	A	B	C													
Approach Delay (s)	10.6	16.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0													
Approach LOS	B	C																	
Intersection Summary												A							
Average Delay													2.5						
Intersection Capacity Utilization													54.1%						
Analysis Period (min)													15						

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis												
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road												
	EB	WB	WBT	ETB	WTB	NET	INR	EBT	WTB	NET	INR	
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	59	254	306	8	238	170	363	878	1	226	886	43
Future Volume (vph)	59	254	306	6	238	170	363	878	1	226	886	43
Net Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lane Time (s)	5.5	6.8	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	5.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fit Protected	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00
Fit Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1863	1770	1863	1863	1863	1863	1863	1863	1863	1863
Fit Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.59	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1863	1099	1863	1863	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	64	276	333	9	259	185	385	954	1	248	963	47
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	44	0	0	153	0	0	1	0	0	30
Lane Group Flow (vph)	64	276	289	9	259	32	395	954	0	248	963	17
Term Type	Prot	NA	perm	perm	NA	perm	prot	NA	perm	NA	perm	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	6	8	5	2	2	1	6	5	6
Permitted Phases	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15
Actualized Phases	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15
Actualized Green, g (s)	8.6	32.6	57.1	20.5	20.5	20.5	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3
Effective Green, g (s)	6.6	32.6	57.1	20.5	20.5	20.5	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.95	0.27	0.48	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	97	506	225	187	318	270	700	1306	584	407	1303	583
vph Radio Prot	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
vph Radio Perm	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Uniform Delay, d1	0.66	0.55	0.35	0.05	0.81	0.12	0.58	0.73	0.00	0.74	0.03	0.01
Progression Factor	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	11.7	0.6	0.1	0.0	14.0	0.1	0.6	3.4	0.0	1.7	0.1	0.0
Delay (s)	67.3	38.0	18.9	41.8	61.9	42.2	47.7	41.2	23.9	45.2	36.7	24.3
Level of Service	E	D	B	D	E	D	D	C	D	D	C	A
Approach Delay (s)	31.8	C	D	D	53.4	43.1	37.9	37.9	D	D	D	A
Approach LOS												
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay	40.6	HCM 2000 Level of Service			D							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.78											
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)			24.2							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	78.2%	ICU Level of Service			D							
Analysis Period (min)	15											
C Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis												
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane												
	EB	WB	WBT	ETB	WTB	NET	INR	EBT	WTB	NET	INR	
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	59	254	306	8	238	170	363	878	1	226	886	43
Future Volume (vph)	59	254	306	6	238	170	363	878	1	226	886	43
Net Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lane Time (s)	5.5	6.8	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	5.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fit Protected	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00
Fit Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1863	1099	1863	1863	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Fit Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.59	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1863	1099	1863	1863	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	64	276	333	9	259	185	385	954	1	248	963	47
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	44	0	0	153	0	0	1	0	0	30
Lane Group Flow (vph)	64	276	289	9	259	32	395	954	0	248	963	17
Term Type	Prot	NA	perm	perm	NA	perm	prot	NA	perm	NA	perm	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	6	8	5	2	2	1	6	5	6
Permitted Phases	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15
Actualized Phases	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15	c15
Actualized Green, g (s)	8.6	32.6	57.1	20.5	20.5	20.5	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3
Effective Green, g (s)	6.6	32.6	57.1	20.5	20.5	20.5	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3	44.3
Actualized g/C Ratio	0.95	0.27	0.48	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	97	506	225	187	318	270	700	1306	584	407	1303	583
vph Radio Prot	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
vph Radio Perm	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64
Uniform Delay, d1	0.66	0.55	0.35	0.05	0.81	0.12	0.58	0.73	0.00	0.74	0.03	0.01
Progression Factor	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	11.7	0.6	0.1	0.0	14.0	0.1	0.6	3.4	0.0	1.7	0.1	0.0
Delay (s)	67.3	38.0	18.9	41.8	61.9	42.2	47.7	41.2	23.9	45.2	36.7	24.3
Level of Service	E	D	B	D	E	D	D	C	D	D	C	A
Approach Delay (s)	31.8	C	D	D	53.4	43.1	37.9	37.9	D	D	D	A
Approach LOS												
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay	40.6	HCM 2000 Level of Service			D							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.78											
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)			24.2							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	78.2%	ICU Level of Service			D							
Analysis Period (min)	15											
C Critical Lane Group												

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline  
Scenario 9 Report  
Page 1

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline  
Scenario 9 Report  
Page 2

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis										No Build PM Generator														
3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue										03/27/2017														
Approach	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	293	288	65	226	364	48	41	859	249	53	748	248	25	1	148	4	0	14	10	427	2	2	552	7
Future Volume (vph)	293	288	65	226	364	48	41	859	249	53	748	248	25	1	148	4	0	14	10	427	2	2	552	7
Head Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Lane Util Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Fri Protected	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Std. Flow (prot)	1770	3419	1770	3478	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	1583	
FRI Permitted	0.40	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Std. Flow (perm)	745	3419	831	3478	745	3419	831	3478	745	3419	831	3478	332	3539	1583	193	332	3539	1583	193	332	3539	1583	193
Peak-hour factor (PHF)	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	318	313	92	246	313	92	318	313	92	313	92	313	21	21	58	613	270	21	58	613	270	21	58	613
RTDR Reduction (vph)	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	318	384	0	246	440	0	45	968	101	58	813	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Turn Type	D+P	NA	D+P	NA	D+P	NA	D+P	NA	D+P	NA	D+P	NA	Perm	D+P	NA	Perm	D+P	NA	Perm	D+P	NA	Perm	D+P	NA
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	3	6	3	6	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Permitted Phases	0	2	2	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	58.8	45.5	58.8	41.5	58.8	41.5	45.2	41.4	45.2	41.4	45.2	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	58.8	45.5	58.8	41.5	58.8	41.5	45.2	41.4	45.2	41.4	45.2	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	42.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.49	0.38	0.49	0.35	0.49	0.35	0.38	0.34	0.38	0.34	0.38	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grip Cap (vph)	512	1292	511	1202	512	1202	546	1222	546	1222	546	1222	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544	544
vis Radio Prot	c0.99	0.11	0.05	0.13	c0.16	0.05	0.13	c0.21	0.05	0.13	c0.21	0.05	c0.23	0.01										
vis Radio Perm	c0.21	0.62	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.39	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.30	0.28
vic Ratio	0.62	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48	0.37	0.30	0.48
Progression Factor	1.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.49	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.54
Incremental Delay, d <sub>i</sub>	2.3	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Delay (s)	21.8	26.6	11.0	30.3	26.6	11.0	C	C	C	C	C	C	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B
Level of Service	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
Approach Delay (s)	24.5	26.3	26.3	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7
Approach LOS	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	
Volume Summary																								
HCM 2000 Control Delay	27.0																							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.70																							
Adjusted Cycle Length (s)	120.0																							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	69.1%																							
Analysis Period (min)	15																							
c Critical Lane Group																								

HCM Unsigned Intersection Capacity Analysis										No Build PM Generator																			
4: West Avenue & Castle Lane										03/27/2017																			
Approach	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE	EB	WB	WE	WE	NE	NE					
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	293	288	65	226	364	48	41	859	249	53	748	248	25	1	148	4	0	14	10	427	2	2	552	7	7	7	7		
Future Volume (vph)	293	288	65	226	364	48	41	859	249	53	748	248	25	1	148	4	0	14	10	427	2	2	552	7	7	7	7		
Head Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	Stop																
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Lane Util Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Pt. Head Flow (vph)	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	318	313	92	246	313	92	318	313	92	313	92	313	21	21	58	613	270	21	58	613	270	21	58	613	270	21	58	613	270
RTDR Reduction (vph)	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	318	384	0	246	440</																								

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road											
No Build PM Peak 07/27/2017											
Approach	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	1	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	167	409	353	4	515	26	540	870	1	158	694
Future Volume (vph)	167	409	353	4	515	26	540	870	1	156	694
Head Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00
Fr Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1863	87	1863	1583	1863	3433	3539	1583	2006
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	182	445	384	4	580	269	587	946	1	170	754
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	33	0	0	167	0	0	1	0	37
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	445	351	4	560	122	567	946	0	170	754
Turn Type	Prot	NA	pm/cr	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	D+P+P
Protected Phases	7	4	5	8	5	2	1	5	4	4	5
Permitted Phases											1
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	93.7
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5	48.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	93.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.98	0.40	0.61	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.40
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	3.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	140	751	1034	246	518	440	700	1257	539	175	374
via Radio Prot	c=10	0.24	0.07	c=0.30	c=0.17	c=0.27	c=0.08	c=0.21	c=0.21	c=0.21	c=0.37
via Radio Perm											0.00
vic Radio											0.01
Progression Delay, d1	1.30	0.59	0.34	0.02	1.08	0.26	0.84	0.76	0.00	0.97	0.03
Progression Factor	55.2	26.1	11.6	31.4	43.3	31.9	45.3	34.2	25.1	54.6	44.4
Incremental Delay, d2	1.86	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.04	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Delay (s)	177.4	0.8	0.1	0.0	63.2	0.1	7.8	4.0	0.0	59.1	14.7
Level of Service	F	C	B	C	F	C	E	D	C	F	A
Approach Delay (s)	59.1	E	F	E	F	D	E	D	E	D	A
Approach LOS											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	61.1	HCM 2000 Level of Service		E							B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.99	Sum of lost time (s)		24.7							A
Actualized Cycle Length (s)	120.0	ICU Level of Service		F							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	91.1%	Analysis Period (min)		15							
c Critical Lane Group											

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane											
No Build PM Peak 02/27/2017											
Approach	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	1	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	167	409	353	4	515	26	540	870	1	158	694
Future Volume (vph)	167	409	353	4	515	26	540	870	1	156	694
Head Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00
Fr Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770	1863	1770
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1863	87	1863	1583	1863	3433	3539	1583	2006
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	182	445	384	4	580	269	587	946	1	170	754
RTR Reduction (vph)	0	0	33	0	0	167	0	0	1	0	37
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	445	351	4	560	122	567	946	0	170	754
Turn Type	Prot	NA	pm/cr	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	NA	D+P+P
Protected Phases	7	4	5	8	5	2	1	5	4	4	5
Permitted Phases											2
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	93.7
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5	48.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	93.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.98	0.40	0.61	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.40
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	3.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	140	751	1034	246	518	440	700	1257	539	175	374
via Radio Prot	c=10	0.24	0.07	c=0.30	c=0.17	c=0.27	c=0.08	c=0.21	c=0.21	c=0.21	c=0.37
via Radio Perm											0.00
vic Radio											0.01
Progression Delay, d1	1.30	0.59	0.34	0.02	1.08	0.26	0.84	0.76	0.00	0.97	0.03
Progression Factor	55.2	26.1	11.6	31.4	43.3	31.9	45.3	34.2	25.1	54.6	44.4
Incremental Delay, d2	1.86	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.04	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Delay (s)	177.4	0.8	0.1	0.0	63.2	0.1	7.8	4.0	0.0	59.1	14.7
Level of Service	F	C	B	C	F	C	E	D	C	F	A
Approach Delay (s)	59.1	E	F	E	F	D	E	D	E	D	A
Approach LOS											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	61.1	HCM 2000 Level of Service		E							B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.99	Sum of lost time (s)		24.7							A
Actualized Cycle Length (s)	120.0	ICU Level of Service		F							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	91.1%	Analysis Period (min)		15							
c Critical Lane Group											

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline  
Syncro 9 Report  
Page 1

Syncro 9 Report  
Page 2

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue											
No Build PM Peak 02/27/2017											
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	32.1	HCM 2000 Level of Service	C								
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.85										
Adjusted Cycle Length (s)	12.4	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	37.7	31.6	22.6	22.5	22.6	22.1	20.8	13.3	15.4		
Analysis Period (min)	D	C	D	C	D	C	B	B			
C Critical Lane Group	11.7	38.0	39.4	14.8							
Approach LOS	C	D	D	B							
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
Average Delay											
Intersection Capacity Utilization											
Analysis Period (min)											

HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: West Avenue & Castle Lane											
No Build PM Peak 02/27/2017											
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	32.1	HCM 2000 Level of Service	C								
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.85										
Adjusted Cycle Length (s)	12.0	Sum of lost time (s)	16.0								
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.6%	ICU Level of Service	D								
Analysis Period (min)	15										

HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis 4: West Avenue & Castle Lane											
No Build PM Peak 02/27/2017											
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
Lane Configurations	1	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Traffic Volume (vph)	269	473	37	296	673	36	30	1097	279	49	659
Future Volume (vph)	269	473	37	296	673	36	30	1097	279	49	659
Total Flow (vph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr. Protected	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00
Std. Flow (prot)	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr. Permitted	0.15	1.00	0.33	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.09	1.00	0.09	1.00	0.09
Std. Flow (perm)	260	3501	606	3511	475	3539	1583	165	3539	1593	
Peak-hour Factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	292	514	49	224	737	41	33	1192	303	53	716
RTDF Reduction (vph)	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	146	0	183
Lane Group Flow (vph)	292	549	0	243	774	0	33	1192	157	53	716
Turn Type	D/P+P	NA	D/P+P	NA	D/P+P	NA	Parm	D/P+P	NA	Parm	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	4
Permitted Phases	8	2	2	4	4	4	8	8	8	8	4
Adjusted Green, G (s)	54.8	41.6	54.8	35.9	49.2	45.2	49.2	46.8	46.8	46.8	46.8
Effective Green, g (s)	54.8	41.6	54.8	35.9	49.2	45.2	49.2	46.8	46.8	46.8	46.8
Adjusted g/c Ratio	0.46	0.35	0.46	0.30	0.41	0.38	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	10	10	30	30	10	30	30	30	30	30	30
Lane Cap Gap (vph)	382	1213	404	1050	220	1333	596	121	1380	617	
v/c Ratio Prot	c0.13	0.16	0.22	0.00	c0.34	0.01	c0.20				
v/c Ratio Perm	c0.24	0.18	0.18	0.05	0.10	0.016	0.07				
v/c Ratio	0.81	0.45	0.35	0.74	0.15	0.49	0.26	0.44	0.52	0.19	
Uniform Delay, d <sub>1</sub>	25.3	30.4	21.9	37.8	22.3	35.2	25.9	50.9	28.0	24.1	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.56	0.47	0.63	
Incremental Delay, d <sub>2</sub>	12.4	1.2	4.6	0.3	8.0	0.2	2.4	0.3	0.1		
Delay (s)	37.7	31.6	22.6	42.5	22.6	43.2	26.1	30.8	13.3	15.4	
Level of Service	D	C	C	D	C	C	C	B	B		
Approach Delay (s)	31.7	38.0	39.4	14.8							
Approach LOS	C	D	D	B							
<b>Intersection Summary</b>											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	32.1	HCM 2000 Level of Service	C								
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.85										
Adjusted Cycle Length (s)	120.0	Sum of lost time (s)	16.0								
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.6%	ICU Level of Service	D								
Analysis Period (min)	15										

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill Selma Road											
Element	HS	EPM	EMT	WET	WEI	NBT	NBT	NBT	NBT	SAT	SAT
Lane Configurations	3	4	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	375	842	12	180	127	239	487	0	184	1514
Future Volume (vph)	32	375	842	12	189	127	239	487	0	184	1514
Base Flow (vph)	1900	1600	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lane Width (ft)	5.5	6.0	5.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	6.0	6.0
Lane Util Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95
RTROR Protected Satd. Flow (prod)	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
RTROR Unprotected Satd. Flow (prod)	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Peak-hour Factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Adj. Flow (vph)	35	408	915	13	265	138	260	529	0	260	1846
RTROR Reduction (vph)	0	0	52	0	0	112	260	529	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	408	863	13	265	26	260	529	0	260	1846
Link Type	Prod	NA	pm+ov	Prod	NA	pm	Prod	NA	Prod	NA	pm
Permitted Phases	7	4	5	8	8	8	2	1	8	8	8
Actuated Green, G(s)	4.3	32.8	45.3	23.0	23.0	12.5	53.1	15.4	56.0	56.0	56.0
Effective Green, g(s)	4.3	32.8	45.3	23.0	23.0	12.5	53.1	15.4	56.0	56.0	56.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.04	0.27	0.38	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.10	0.44	0.13	0.47	0.47
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Cap (vph)	63	593	670	112	357	303	357	1566	257	1851	739
Link Lane Prod	0.02	0.22	0.13	0.11	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.16	0.47	0.47	0.47
Link Radio Pm	0.58	0.90	1.20	0.32	0.57	0.90	0.73	0.34	0.78	1.00	0.61
Link Radio Ratio	56.9	40.6	37.4	40.1	44.1	39.9	52.1	21.9	50.6	31.9	17.2
Unlinked Delay, d1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.27	1.58	1.00	1.00	1.00
Proportionality Factor	5.9	6.4	140.9	1.0	1.4	0.1	5.1	0.5	12.7	21.4	0.0
Intersections Delay, d2	62.8	46.9	178.3	40.3	45.4	39.9	7.1	36.1	63.3	53.4	17.2
Delay (s)	E	D	F	D	D	D	E	D	E	D	B
Level of Service	13.94	4.4	43.1	47.0	47.0	47.0	47.0	47.0	47.0	47.0	47.0
Approach LOS	F	F	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Intersection Summary											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	77.4	HCM 2000 Level of Service								E	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	1.27	Sum of lost time (s)								24.2	
Actualized Cycle Length (s)	120.0	ICU Level of Service								H	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	114.6%	Analysis Period (min)								15	

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis									
3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue									
Approach	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9
Permitted Phases	5	2	1	6	3	3	8	7	4
Permitted Phases	6	2	4	4	6	6	8	4	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	49.9	27.6	49.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Effective Green, g (s)	48.9	27.6	48.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.23	0.42	0.30	0.45	0.31	0.45	0.43	0.43
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Lane Grip Cap (vh)	270	780	445	1047	97	1088	486	315	1524
vh Ratio Prod	c0.11	0.11	0.14	0.24	0.00	c0.19	0.02	c0.37	
vh Ratio Perm	c0.27	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.29	
vh Ratio	0.91	0.47	0.78	0.80	0.25	0.63	0.13	0.14	0.86
Uniform Delay, d1	30.5	36.9	26.4	38.7	24.8	35.7	29.9	30.8	27.4
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.33
Incremental Delay, d2	33.0	2.0	7.6	8.4	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.0	1.5
Delay (s)	63.5	41.9	34.0	45.1	26.2	36.9	30.1	18.4	21.0
Level of Service	E	D	C	D	C	D	B	C	A
Approach Delay (s)	50.2	41.7	35.1	41.7	35.1	35.1	17.2		
Approach LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	B	C	A

HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis									
4: West Avenue & Castle Lane									
Approach	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vh)	227	265	98	346	704	72	22	631	185
Future Volume (vh)	227	265	99	346	704	72	22	631	185
Peak Flow (vhph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr. Protected	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Sel. Flow (prot)	1770	3394	1770	3490	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539
Fr. Permitted	0.12	1.00	0.37	1.00	0.08	1.00	1.00	0.21	1.00
Sel. Flow (perm)	217	3394	682	3490	144	3539	1583	386	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Act. Flow (vh)	247	285	108	376	765	78	24	686	201
RTOR Reduction (vh)	0	31	0	8	0	0	0	139	0
Lane Group Flow (vh)	247	365	0	376	637	0	24	606	62
Turn Type	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	Perm	D-P+P	NA
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	3	3	8	7	4
Permitted Phases	6	2	4	4	6	6	8	4	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	49.9	27.6	49.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Effective Green, g (s)	48.9	27.6	48.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.23	0.42	0.30	0.45	0.31	0.45	0.43	0.43
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Lane Grip Cap (vh)	270	780	445	1047	97	1088	486	315	1524
vh Ratio Prod	c0.11	0.11	0.14	0.24	0.00	c0.19	0.02	c0.37	
vh Ratio Perm	c0.27	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.29	
vh Ratio	0.91	0.47	0.78	0.80	0.25	0.63	0.13	0.14	0.86
Uniform Delay, d1	30.5	36.9	26.4	38.7	24.8	35.7	29.9	30.8	27.4
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.33
Incremental Delay, d2	33.0	2.0	7.6	8.4	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.0	1.5
Delay (s)	63.5	41.9	34.0	45.1	26.2	36.9	30.1	18.4	21.0
Level of Service	E	D	C	D	C	D	B	C	A
Approach Delay (s)	50.2	41.7	35.1	41.7	35.1	35.1	17.2		
Approach LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	B	C	A

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline

Synthesis Report  
Page 3

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline

Synthesis Report  
Page 4

Build AM Generator									
02/27/2017									
Approach	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vh)	227	265	98	346	704	72	22	631	185
Future Volume (vh)	227	265	99	346	704	72	22	631	185
Peak Flow (vhph)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr. Protected	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00
Sel. Flow (prot)	1770	3394	1770	3490	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539
Fr. Permitted	0.12	1.00	0.37	1.00	0.08	1.00	1.00	0.21	1.00
Sel. Flow (perm)	217	3394	682	3490	144	3539	1583	386	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Act. Flow (vh)	247	285	108	376	765	78	24	686	201
RTOR Reduction (vh)	0	31	0	8	0	0	0	139	0
Lane Group Flow (vh)	247	365	0	376	637	0	24	606	62
Turn Type	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	D-P+P	NA	Perm	D-P+P	NA
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	3	3	8	7	4
Permitted Phases	6	2	4	4	6	6	8	4	4
Actuated Green, G (s)	49.9	27.6	49.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Effective Green, g (s)	48.9	27.6	48.9	36.0	54.1	36.9	54.1	51.7	51.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.23	0.42	0.30	0.45	0.31	0.45	0.43	0.43
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Lane Grip Cap (vh)	270	780	445	1047	97	1088	486	315	1524
vh Ratio Prod	c0.11	0.11	0.14	0.24	0.00	c0.19	0.02	c0.37	
vh Ratio Perm	c0.27	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.29	
vh Ratio	0.91	0.47	0.78	0.80	0.25	0.63	0.13	0.14	0.86
Uniform Delay, d1	30.5	36.9	26.4	38.7	24.8	35.7	29.9	30.8	27.4
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.59	0.33
Incremental Delay, d2	33.0	2.0	7.6	8.4	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.0	1.5
Delay (s)	63.5	41.9	34.0	45.1	26.2	36.9	30.1	18.4	21.0
Level of Service	E	D	C	D	C	D	B	C	A
Approach Delay (s)	50.2	41.7	35.1	41.7	35.1	35.1	17.2		
Approach LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	B	C	A

Synthesis Report  
Page 4







**HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis**  
7: Winston Lane & Driveway 1

Build PM Generator  
02/27/2017

**HCM Unsignedized Intersection Capacity Analysis**  
8: Winston Lane & Driveway 2

Build PM Generator  
02/27/2017

Movement	EST	EST	NET	WHR	SH	SRR	Y	EST	EST	NET	WHR	SH	SRR	Y
Lane Configurations	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	329	249	0	0	0	0	0	26	28	220	303	0	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	329	249	0	0	0	0	0	26	29	220	303	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop
Grade	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	358	271	0	0	0	0	0	28	32	239	329	0	0
Pedestrians														
Lane Width (ft)														
Walking Speed (ft/s)														
Percent Blockage														
Right turn flow (veh)														
Median type	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Median storage (veh)														
Upstream signal (fl)														
PC, platoon unblocked														
VC, conflicting volume	271													
VC1, stage 1 cont vol														
VC2, stage 2 cont vol														
VCU, unblocked vol	271													
IC, single (s)	4.1													
IC, 2 stage (s)														
IF (s)														
PD queue free %	100													
CD capacity (veh/h)	1292													
<b>Total Lane #</b>	<b>EST</b>	<b>EST</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>WHR</b>	<b>SH</b>	<b>SRR</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>EST</b>	<b>EST</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>WHR</b>	<b>SH</b>	<b>SRR</b>	<b>Y</b>
Volume Total	358	271	0					28	32	239	329			
Volume Left	0	0	0					0	0	0	329			
Volume Right	0	0	0					0	0	239	0			
cSH	1292	1700	1700					1292	1700	1700	947			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.16	0.00					0.00	0.02	0.14	0.35			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0					0	0	0	39			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8			
Lane LOS	A	A	A					B	B	B	B			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8			
<b>Intersection Summary</b>														
Average Delay	0.0													
Intersection Capacity Utilization	20.6%													
Analysis Period (min)	15													

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road											
Build PM Roadway Peak											
	EB	EBT	EBR	WB	WBT	WBR	NBT	NBL	SBT	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	266	562	892	1	154	711
Future Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	265	562	892	1	156	711
Head Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fr Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1843	1583	3433	3539	1863	3006	3339
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	897	1843	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	182	445	401	4	560	269	811	970	1	170	773
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	445	368	4	560	122	611	970	0	116	773
Item Type	Prod	NA	Permit	Perm	Prod	NA	Perm	Prod	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	8	5	2	1	6	4	4	6
Permitted Phases											2
Actuated Green, G(s)	9.5	44.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	85.1
Effective Green, g(s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	86.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.40	0.81	0.22	0.28	0.20	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.24	0.18
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	140	751	1034	246	518	440	700	1250	559	175	837
v/s Ratio Prod	c@10	0.24	0.07	c@30	c@18	0.27	0.08	c@22			
v/s Ratio Permit	c@10	0.16	0.00	0.06							
v/s Ratio Perm	c@10	0.16	0.00	0.06							
Uniform Delay d1	55.2	20.1	11.0	31.4	43.3	31.9	46.2	34.6	54.6	44.7	35.2
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	177.4	0.8	0.1	0.0	63.2	0.1	10.3	4.3	0.0	59.1	17.3
Delay (s)	232.8	26.9	11.9	31.4	106.5	34.0	67.0	48.9	25.1	113.7	62.0
Level of Service	F	C	B	C	F	C	E	D	C	F	D
Approach Delay (s)	58.3			81.6		55.9		69.6			
Approach LOS	E			F		E		E			
Intersection Summary											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	64.4			HCM 2000 Level of Service	E						B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	1.00										0.59
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0			Sum of lost time (s)	24.2						12.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	92.2%			ICU Level of Service	F						C
Analysis Period (min)	15										15
C Critical Lane Group											

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis											
2: NW Military Drive & Winslow Lane											
Build PM Roadway Peak											
	EB	EBT	EBR	WB	WBT	WBR	NBT	NBL	SBT	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	266	562	892	1	154	711
Future Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	265	562	892	1	156	711
Head Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Width (ft)	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.85
Fr Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95
Safe Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1843	1583	3433	3539	1863	2006	3539
Fr Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00
Safe Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	897	1843	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	182	445	401	4	560	269	811	970	1	170	773
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	445	368	4	560	122	611	970	0	116	773
Item Type	Prod	NA	Permit	Perm	Prod	NA	Perm	Prod	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases	7	4	5	8	5	2	1	6	4	4	6
Permitted Phases											2
Actuated Green, G(s)	9.5	44.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	85.1
Effective Green, g(s)	9.5	46.4	72.9	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4	86.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.40	0.81	0.22	0.28	0.20	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.24	0.18
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Gap Cap (vph)	140	751	1034	246	518	440	700	1250	559	175	837
v/s Ratio Prod	c@10	0.24	0.07	c@30	c@18	0.27	0.08	c@22			
v/s Ratio Permit	c@10	0.16	0.00	0.06							
v/s Ratio Perm	c@10	0.16	0.00	0.06							
Uniform Delay d1	55.2	20.1	11.0	31.4	43.3	31.9	46.2	34.6	54.6	44.7	35.2
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	177.4	0.8	0.1	0.0	63.2	0.1	10.3	4.3	0.0	59.1	17.3
Delay (s)	232.8	26.9	11.9	31.4	106.5	34.0	67.0	48.9	25.1	113.7	62.0
Level of Service	F	C	B	C	F	C	E	D	C	F	D
Approach Delay (s)	58.3			81.6		55.9		69.6			
Approach LOS	E			F		E		E			
Intersection Summary											
HCM 2000 Control Delay	64.4			HCM 2000 Level of Service	E						B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	1.00										0.59
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	120.0			Sum of lost time (s)	24.2						12.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	92.2%			ICU Level of Service	F						C
Analysis Period (min)	15										15
C Critical Lane Group											

Syncro 9 Report  
Page 1

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline

Syncro 9 Report  
Page 2

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis												Build PM Roadway Peak												
3: NW Military Drive & West Avenue												02/27/2017												
Parameter	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	EST.	
Lane Configurations	3	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>	4 <sup>a</sup>												
Traffic Volume (vph)	285	473	37	266	678	46	30	1118	279	60	688	298												
Future Volume (vph)	285	473	37	266	678	46	30	1118	279	60	688	298												
Head Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900												
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0												
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95												
Frt	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99												
FR Protected	0.85	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00												
Protected Flow (prot)	1770	3501	1770	3505	1770	3505	1770	3538	1583	1770	3539	1583												
FR Permitted	0.14	1.00	0.32	1.00	0.32	1.00	0.24	1.00	0.69	1.00	1.00	1.00												
Permitted Flow (perm)	259	3501	605	3505	446	3539	1583	1584	3539	1583	1584	3539												
Peak-hour factor (PHF)	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92												
Adj. Flow (vph)	310	514	40	224	731	50	33	1215	65	746	324													
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	310	549	0	224	783	0	33	1215	159	65	746	127												
Turn Type	D/P+P	NA	D/P+P	NA	D/P+P	NA	D/P+P	NA	Perm	D/P+P	NA	Perm												
Protected Phases	5	2	1	6	3	4	3	8	7	4	3	7												
Permitted Phases	6	2	2	4	4	4	4	8	8	4	4	4												
Adjusted Green, G (s)	54.7	41.5	54.7	35.2	49.3	45.3	49.3	45.3	49.3	46.9	46.9	46.9												
Effective Green, g (s)	54.7	41.5	54.7	35.2	49.3	45.3	49.3	45.3	49.3	48.9	48.9	48.9												
Adjusted G/C Ratio	0.46	0.35	0.46	0.29	0.41	0.38	0.41	0.38	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.39												
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0												
Lane Grip Cap (vph)	363	1210	403	1028	269	1335	597	120	1983	618														
vph Radio Prot	c0.14	0.16	0.06	0.22	0.05	c0.34	0.02	c0.21																
vph Radio Perm	c0.25	0.19	0.19	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.08																
Wt Ratio	0.65	0.45	0.56	0.16	0.16	0.91	0.27	0.54	0.54	0.20														
Unctrl Delay, d1	28.0	30.5	21.1	38.6	22.4	25.9	52.2	26.2	24.2															
Propagation Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.53	0.41	0.15												
Incremental Delay, d2	17.4	1.2	1.7	5.3	0.4	9.5	0.2	4.5	0.4	0.2														
Delay (s)	46.2	31.7	22.7	43.9	22.8	44.9	26.1	32.2	11.6	3.7														
Level of Service	D	C	C	D	C	D	C	C	B	A														
Approach Delay (s)	36.9	39.2	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7												
Approach LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D												
HCM 2000 Control Delay	32.2																							
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio	0.88																							
Adjusted Cycle Length (s)	120.0																							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	83.6%																							
Analysis Period (min)	15																							
C Critical Lane Group																								

5:00 pm 11/11/2016 Baseline

Build PM Roadway Peak

02/27/2017

Synchro 9 Report  
Page 3

Synchro 9 Report  
Page 4

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis						
7: Winston Lane & Driveway 1						
	Left	Right	Net	Wht	Blk	Grn
Lane Configurations	4	4	8	4	0	4
Traffic Volume (vhph)	0	136	117	0	0	0
Future Volume (vhph)	0	136	117	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Stop	0%	0%
Grade	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vhph)	0	148	127	0	0	0
Pedestrian						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right Turn Rate (vhph)						
Median Type	None	None	None			
Median storage (vh)						
Upstream signal (if present)						
pk, platoon unblocked	127		275	127		
vc, conflicting volume						
VC1, stage 1 cont vol						
VC2, stage 2 cont vol						
CV, unblocked vol	127		275	127		
IC, single (s)	4.1			6.4	6.2	
IC, 2 stage (s)						
IF (s)						
p0 queue free %	2.2			3.5	3.3	
CV capacity (veh/h)	100		100	100		
CV capacity (veh/h)	1459		715	93		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay	0.0					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	10-5%					
Analysis Period (min)	15					
ICU Level of Service	A					

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis							
8: Winston Lane & Driveway 2							
Parameter	EB-1	EB-2	WB-1	WB-2	SB-1	SB-2	
Lane Configurations	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	27	35	32	109	0	
Future Volume (veh/h)	0	27	35	32	109	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Stop			
Grade	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Peak Hours Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly Flow rate (vph)	0	29	38	49	118	0	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Per cent Blockage							
Right Turn Rate (veh)							
Median Type	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Median storage veh							
Upstream signal (1)							
pX: platoon unblocked							
VC: conflicting volume							
VC1: stage 1 cont vol							
VC2: stage 2 cont vol							
vC0: unblocked vol							
IC: single (s)	127						
IC: 2 stage (s)	4.1						
IF (s)							
po queue free %	2.2						
cII capacity (veh/h)	100						
EB-1 capacity (veh/h)	1459						
Volume Total	29	38	69	118			
Volume Left	0	0	0	118			
Volume Right	0	0	0	0			
BSH	1459	1700	1700	938			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.13			
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	11			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4			
Lane LOS	A	A	A	A			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4			
Approach LOS	A	A	A	A			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay							
Interaction Capacity Utilization	4.6						
Analysis Period (min)	15						
ICU Level of Service	A						

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road

Mitigation AM Peak  
12/19/2016

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBC	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	↑	↑	1	↑	↑	↑	↑↑	↑	↑	↑↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	375	842	12	189	127	239	487	0	184	1514	21
Future Volume (vph)	32	375	842	12	189	127	239	487	0	184	1514	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	16	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	4.0	6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6		5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	3433	3539		2006	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	496	1863	1583	3433	3539		2006	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	408	915	13	205	138	260	529	0	200	1646	23
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	115	0	0	0	0	0	11
Lane Group Flow (vph)	35	408	915	13	205	23	260	529	0	200	1646	12
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Free	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases			Free		8				2			6
Actuated Green, G (s)	4.3	30.1	120.0	20.3	20.3	20.3	9.5	56.2		15.0	61.7	61.7
Effective Green, g (s)	4.3	30.1	120.0	20.3	20.3	20.3	9.5	56.2		15.0	61.7	61.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.04	0.25	1.00	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.08	0.47		0.12	0.51	0.51
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6		6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6		5.5	6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	63	467	1583	83	315	267	271	1657		250	1819	813
v/s Ratio Prot	0.02	c0.22			0.11		c0.08	0.15		0.10	c0.47	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.58	0.03		0.01						0.01
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.87	0.58	0.16	0.65	0.09	0.96	0.32		0.80	0.90	0.01
Uniform Delay, d1	56.9	43.1	0.0	42.5	46.5	42.0	55.1	19.9		51.0	26.5	14.3
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.87	0.89		1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	5.9	15.9	1.5	0.3	3.6	0.1	41.0	0.5		15.7	7.9	0.0
Delay (s)	62.8	59.1	1.5	42.9	50.2	42.1	88.8	18.1		66.7	34.4	14.3
Level of Service	E	E	A	D	D	D	F	B		E	C	B
Approach Delay (s)		20.4			46.8			41.4			37.6	
Approach LOS		C			D			D			D	
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay		33.7										C
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.95										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0										
Intersection Capacity Utilization		90.8%										
Analysis Period (min)		15										
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane

Mitigation AM Peak  
12/19/2016

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	↑	↑		↔			↑	↑↑		↑	↑↑	↑	
Traffic Volume (vph)	208	18	192	16	27	0	274	525	14	1	1699	279	
Future Volume (vph)	208	18	192	16	27	0	274	525	14	1	1699	279	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.86			1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1608			1829		1770	3526		1770	3539	1583	
Flt Permitted	0.76	1.00			0.75		0.06	1.00		0.42	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1422	1608			1406		107	3526		775	3539	1583	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	226	20	209	17	29	0	298	571	15	1	1847	303	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	172	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	42	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	226	57	0	0	46	0	298	585	0	1	1847	261	
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		D.P+P	NA		D.P+P	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases		8			4		5	2		1	6		
Permitted Phases		8			4		6			2		6	
Actuated Green, G (s)	21.1	21.1			21.1		86.9	86.1		86.9	69.8	69.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	21.1	21.1			21.1		86.9	86.1		86.9	69.8	69.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18	0.18			0.18		0.72	0.72		0.72	0.58	0.58	
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	250	282			247		314	2529		567	2058	920	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.04					c0.14	0.17		0.00	0.52		
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.16			0.03		c0.55			0.00		0.16	
v/c Ratio		0.90	0.20			0.19		0.95	0.23		0.00	0.90	0.28
Uniform Delay, d1	48.5	42.3			42.1		40.8	5.7		5.4	22.0	12.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00		1.14	0.23		1.82	1.21	1.76	
Incremental Delay, d2	32.5	0.4			0.4		32.3	0.2		0.0	4.4	0.5	
Delay (s)	81.0	42.6			42.5		78.8	1.5		9.9	30.8	22.7	
Level of Service	F	D			D		E	A		A	C	C	
Approach Delay (s)		61.7			42.5			27.6			29.7		
Approach LOS		E			D			C			C		
<b>Intersection Summary</b>													
HCM 2000 Control Delay		33.4			HCM 2000 Level of Service			C					
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.94											
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0			Sum of lost time (s)			12.0					
Intersection Capacity Utilization		90.3%			ICU Level of Service			E					
Analysis Period (min)		15											
c Critical Lane Group													

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road

Mitigation PM Generator  
02/27/2017

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑↑	↑	↑↑	↑↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	59	254	350	8	238	170	424	940	1	226	930	43
Future Volume (vph)	59	254	350	8	238	170	424	940	1	226	930	43
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	16	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	4.0	6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Frt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Frt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.59	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	1099	1863	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	64	276	380	9	259	185	461	1022	1	246	1011	47
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	153	0	0	1	0	0	30
Lane Group Flow (vph)	64	276	380	9	259	32	461	1022	0	246	1011	17
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Free	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases			Free		8		8			2		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	6.6	32.6	120.0	20.5	20.5	20.5	24.5	44.3	44.3	24.4	44.2	44.2
Effective Green, g (s)	6.6	32.6	120.0	20.5	20.5	20.5	24.5	44.3	44.3	24.4	44.2	44.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.27	1.00	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.37	0.37	0.20	0.37	0.37
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6		6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	97	506	1583	187	318	270	700	1306	584	407	1303	583
v/s Ratio Prot	0.04	c0.15			c0.14		0.13	c0.29		0.12	c0.29	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.24	0.01		0.02			0.00			0.01
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.55	0.24	0.05	0.81	0.12	0.66	0.78	0.00	0.60	0.78	0.03
Uniform Delay, d1	55.6	37.4	0.0	41.6	47.9	42.1	43.9	33.6	23.9	43.4	33.5	24.2
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	11.7	0.6	0.4	0.0	14.0	0.1	1.6	4.3	0.0	1.7	4.6	0.1
Delay (s)	67.3	38.0	0.4	41.6	61.9	42.2	54.1	46.2	23.9	45.2	38.1	24.3
Level of Service	E	D	A	D	E	D	D	D	C	D	D	C
Approach Delay (s)		20.7			53.4			48.6			38.9	
Approach LOS		C			D			D			D	
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay		40.9			HCM 2000 Level of Service			D				
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.81										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0			Sum of lost time (s)			24.2				
Intersection Capacity Utilization		78.0%			ICU Level of Service			D				
Analysis Period (min)		15										
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane

Mitigation PM Generator  
02/27/2017

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑			⊕		↑	↑↑		↑	↑↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	208	18	196	14	15	2	147	1035	11	7	865	150
Future Volume (vph)	208	18	196	14	15	2	147	1035	11	7	865	150
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0				4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00
Fr <sub>t</sub>	1.00	0.86				0.99	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00				0.98	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1607				1806	1770	3534		1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.80	1.00				0.78	0.26	1.00		0.21	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1484	1607				1448	483	3534		391	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	226	20	213	15	16	2	160	1125	12	8	940	163
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	174	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	43
Lane Group Flow (vph)	226	59	0	0	31	0	160	1136	0	8	940	120
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		D.P+P	NA		D.P+P	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		8			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	8			4			6			2		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	22.2	22.2			22.2		85.8	84.6		85.8	78.7	78.7
Effective Green, g (s)	22.2	22.2			22.2		85.8	84.6		85.8	78.7	78.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18	0.18			0.18		0.71	0.70		0.71	0.66	0.66
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	274	297			267		421	2491		293	2320	1038
v/s Ratio Prot		0.04					0.02	c0.32		0.00	c0.27	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.15				0.02		0.25			0.02		0.08
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.20			0.12		0.38	0.46		0.03	0.41	0.12
Uniform Delay, d1	47.0	41.4			40.7		6.1	7.7		9.7	9.7	7.7
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00		2.07	2.05		0.30	1.34	1.13
Incremental Delay, d2	17.9	0.3			0.2		0.4	0.4		0.0	0.4	0.2
Delay (s)	65.0	41.7			40.9		13.1	16.2		2.9	13.4	8.9
Level of Service	E	D			D		B	B		A	B	A
Approach Delay (s)		53.2			40.9			15.8			12.6	
Approach LOS		D			D			B			B	
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay		20.8			HCM 2000 Level of Service		C					
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.54										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0			Sum of lost time (s)		12.0					
Intersection Capacity Utilization		60.5%			ICU Level of Service		B					
Analysis Period (min)		15										
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
1: NW Military Drive & Lockhill-Selma Road

Mitigation PM  
12/19/2016

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑↑	↑	↑↑	↑↑	↑
Traffic Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	266	562	892	1	156	711	45
Future Volume (vph)	167	409	369	4	515	266	562	892	1	156	711	45
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	16	12	12
Total Lost time (s)	5.5	6.6	4.0	6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1863	1583	1770	1863	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.48	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1863	1583	887	1863	1583	3433	3539	1583	2006	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	182	445	401	4	560	289	611	970	1	170	773	49
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	167	0	0	1	0	0	37
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	445	401	4	560	122	611	970	0	170	773	12
Turn Type	Prot	NA	Free	Perm	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases			Free		8				2			6
Actuated Green, G (s)	9.5	48.4	120.0	33.4	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4
Effective Green, g (s)	9.5	48.4	120.0	33.4	33.4	33.4	24.5	42.4	42.4	10.5	28.4	28.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08	0.40	1.00	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.24
Clearance Time (s)	5.5	6.6		6.6	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6	5.5	6.6	6.6
Vehicle Extension (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	140	751	1583	246	518	440	700	1250	559	175	837	374
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.10	0.24			c0.30		c0.18	0.27		0.08	c0.22	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.25	0.00		0.08			0.00			0.01
v/c Ratio	1.30	0.59	0.25	0.02	1.08	0.28	0.87	0.78	0.00	0.97	0.92	0.03
Uniform Delay, d1	55.2	28.1	0.0	31.4	43.3	33.9	46.2	34.6	25.1	54.6	44.7	35.2
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.05	1.11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	177.4	0.8	0.4	0.0	63.2	0.1	10.6	4.4	0.0	59.1	17.3	0.2
Delay (s)	232.6	28.9	0.4	31.4	106.5	34.0	59.1	43.0	25.1	113.7	62.0	35.4
Level of Service	F	C	A	C	F	C	E	D	C	F	E	D
Approach Delay (s)		53.9			81.6			49.2			69.6	
Approach LOS		D			F			D			E	
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay		61.0										E
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		1.00										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0										24.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization		92.2%										F
Analysis Period (min)		15										
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis  
2: NW Military Drive & Winston Lane


Mitigation PM  
12/19/2016

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	1			+		1	1		1	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	108	27	84	7	32	10	60	1187	12	4	892	82
Future Volume (vph)	108	27	84	7	32	10	60	1187	12	4	892	82
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	1.00
Frt	1.00	0.89			0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1651			1798		1770	3534		1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.73	1.00			0.96		0.26	1.00		0.18	1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1358	1651			1732		493	3534		336	3539	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	117	29	91	8	35	11	65	1290	13	4	970	89
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	79	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Lane Group Flow (vph)	117	41	0	0	45	0	65	1303	0	4	970	71
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		D.P+P	NA		D.P+P	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		8			4		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases		8			4		6			2		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.4	15.4			15.4		92.6	91.4		92.6	87.2	87.2
Effective Green, g (s)	15.4	15.4			15.4		92.6	91.4		92.6	87.2	87.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.13			0.13		0.77	0.76		0.77	0.73	0.73
Clearance Time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	174	211			222		437	2691		273	2571	1150
v/s Ratio Prot		0.02					0.01	c0.37		0.00	c0.27	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.09				0.03		0.11			0.01		0.04
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.19			0.20		0.15	0.48		0.01	0.38	0.06
Uniform Delay, d1	49.9	46.7			46.8		3.6	5.4		7.1	6.2	4.7
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00			1.00		2.13	2.36		0.34	0.53	0.03
Incremental Delay, d2	9.8	0.4			0.5		0.1	0.3		0.0	0.3	0.1
Delay (s)	59.7	47.2			47.3		7.8	13.0		2.4	3.6	0.2
Level of Service	E	D			D		A	B		A	A	A
Approach Delay (s)		53.4			47.3			12.8			3.3	
Approach LOS		D			D			B			A	
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 2000 Control Delay		13.3			HCM 2000 Level of Service			B				
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio		0.52										
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		120.0			Sum of lost time (s)			12.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilization		59.2%			ICU Level of Service			B				
Analysis Period (min)		15										


c Critical Lane Group

# **APPENDIX E**

## **Preliminary Site Plan**



SOUTH WINSTON LANE



**LEGEND:**

- QUEUING DIRECTION  
(REPRESENTS 25ft)
- TRAFFIC CONTROL DURING
- PICK-UP AND DROP-OFF



OFF-SITE QUEUING: 320ft  
ON-SITE QUEUING: 2,600ft  
ON-SITE PARKING: 200 SPACES

# **APPENDIX F**

## **Intersection Photographs**

**NW Military Drive at Lockhill-Selma Road**

**Lockhill-Selma Road**




**Southbound**

**Westbound**

**Northbound**



**Eastbound**



**NW Military Drive**

**NW Military Drive at Winston Lane**




**NW Military Drive**




**Southbound**

**Westbound**



**Northbound**



**Eastbound**

**Winston Lane**

**NW Military Drive at West Avenue**

**NW Military Drive**




**Southbound**

**Westbound**




**Northbound**




**Eastbound**

**West Avenue**




West Avenue at Castle Lane


Castle Lane




Eastbound



Northbound



Westbound



West Avenue

# **APPENDIX G**

## **On-Site School Queueing**

## MSTA School Traffic Calculations

AM and PM Peak Traffic Estimates

(These numbers do not reflect peak hour traffic volumes)

P 43.35% 26.30% 22.00 37.87%	Avg. Cars / Student	PM At one Time	PM
Private & Charter school data is based on few to no buses and uses the same percentages for all school types (Elementary, Middle, & High).			

Average Queue Length does NOT include an alternative traffic pattern required for high traffic demand days.

Average Queue Length does NOT include the Student Loading Zone.

Peak traffic volumes at schools normally occur within a 30-minute time period (analyzing a 9 AM - 10:15 AM period).

MSTA School Queue Input		Calculations			
Type School	Student Population	Number of Buses	Staff Members	Student Drivers	PM Total Vehicles
Private E	1127	16	140		297
Private M					112
Private H					274
					274
					3217
					742

AM Trips Generated		PM Trips Generated			
Direction	Buses	Staff	Trips	Parents	Buses
In	489		489	297	
OUT	489		489	297	
					AD1
					1571

AM Trips Generated		PM Trips Generated			
Direction	Buses	Staff	Trips	Parents	Buses
In					
OUT					

AM Trips Generated		PM Trips Generated			
Direction	Buses	Staff	Trips	Parents	Buses
In					
OUT					

School Name: Castle Hills BASIS Charter School		Is this a Typical PUBLIC school? No		Version: BetaV8415	
MSTA School Queue Input		Calculations			
Type School	Student Population	Number of Buses	Staff Members	Student Drivers	PM Total Vehicles
Private E	1127	16	140		297
Private M					112
Private H					274
					3217
					742

AM Trips Generated		PM Trips Generated			
Direction	Buses	Staff	Trips	Parents	Buses
In	489		489	297	
OUT	489		489	297	
					AD1
					1571

AM Trips Generated		PM Trips Generated			
Direction	Buses	Staff	Trips	Parents	Buses
In					
OUT					